

Treasury Board of Canada Secrétariat du Conseil du Trésor du Canada

# DIGITAL SIGNATURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY **CERTIFICATE** POLICIES

# FOR THE **GOVERNMENT OF CANADA** PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE

id-gocpki-certpcy-confidentiality-rudimentaryAssurance ::= id-gocpki-certpcy-conf-1 id-gocpki-certpcy-confidentiality-basicAssurance ::= id-gocpki-certpcy-conf-2 id-gocpki-certpcy-confidentiality-mediumAssurance ::= id-gocpki-certpcy-conf-3 id-gocpki-certpcy-confidentiality-highAssurance ::= id-gocpki-certpcy-conf-4 id-gocpki-certpcy-digitalSignature-rudimentaryAssurance ::= id-gocpki-certpcy-sign-1 id-gocpki-certpcy-digitalSignature-basicAssurance ::= id-gocpki-certpcy-sign-2 id-gocpki-certpcy-digitalSignature-mediumAssurance ::= id-gocpki-certpcy-sign-3 id-gocpki-certpcy-digitalSignature-highAssurance ::= id-gocpki-certpcy-sign-4

> version 3.02 April 1999



# Canada

### CONTENTS

PART	1.	- BACKGROUND	1
1.	I	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	(	CONCEPTS	1
	2.1	. Certificate Policy	1
	2.2	-	
	2.3		
3.	-	ILLUSTRATIVE GOC PKI ROLES	
-			
		– POLICY SPECIFICATION	
1.			
	1.1		
	1.2		
	1.3	3 Community and applicability	9
	1.4	Contact details	
2.	(	GENERAL PROVISIONS	12
	2.1	Obligations	
	2.2	2 Liability	15
	2.3	3 Financial responsibility	
	2.4	Interpretation and Enforcement	
	2.5		
	2.6		
	2.0		
	2.8		
	2.9		
3.		IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION	
	3.1	Initial Registration	20
	3.2	2 Authentication for routine rekey	24
	3.3	3 Authentication for rekey after revocation	24
	3.4	Authentication of revocation request	25
4.	(	OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS	26
	4.1	Application for a certificate	26
	4.2	Certificate issuance	27
	4.3	3 Certificate acceptance	27
	4.4		
	4.5		
	4.6		
	4.7		
	4.8		
	4.9		
5.		PHYSICAL, PROCEDURAL AND PERSONNEL SECURITY	
	5.1	Physical Controls	35
	5.2	Procedural Controls	
	5.3	3 Personnel security controls	
6.	-	TECHNICAL SECURITY CONTROLS	40
	6.1	Key Pair Generation and Installation	
	6.2	Private key protection	41
	6.3		
	6.4		
	6.5		
	6.6		
	6.7	-	
	-		
	6.8		
7.		CERTIFICATE AND CRL PROFILES	
	7.1		-
	7.2		
8.	:	SPECIFICATION ADMINISTRATION	-
	8.1	Specification Change Procedures	51
	8.2	Publication and notification procedures	51
	8.3	3 CPS approval procedures	51

# PART 1 - BACKGROUND

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This document defines eight certificate policies for use in the Government of Canada Public Key Infrastructure (GOC PKI), representing four different assurance levels (Rudimentary, Basic, Medium, and High) for both Digital Signature and Confidentiality public key certificates. The Policy Specification portion of the document (Part 2) follows and complies with the Internet Engineering Task Force Public Key Infrastructure X.509 (IETF PKIX) Part 4 Certificate Policy and Certification Practice Statement Framework.

This document explains several technical concepts associated with PKI technology. For those unfamiliar with this technology a series of definitions is provided in introduction of the policy specification.

The security mechanisms provided by the GOC PKI alone are not intended to be used for the protection of classified information.

### 2. CONCEPTS

#### 2.1. Certificate Policy

When a Certification Authority (CA) issues a certificate, it provides a statement to a certificate user that a particular public key is bound to a particular Entity. Different certificates are issued following different practices and procedures, and may be suitable for different applications and/or purposes. The X.509 standard defines a certificate policy as "a named set of rules that indicates the applicability of a certificate to a particular community and/or class of application with common security requirements." For example, a particular certificate policy might indicate applicability of a type of certificate to the authentication of electronic data interchange transactions for the trading of goods within a given price range.

Because of the importance of a Certificate Policy (CP) in establishing trust in a public key certificate, it is fundamental that the CP be understood and consulted not only by Subscribers but any Relying Party.

GOC PKI certificates contain a registered certificate policy object identifier (OID), which may be used to decide whether or not a certificate is trusted for a particular purpose. The registration process follows the procedures specified in ISO/IEC and ITU standards. The party that registers the OID also publishes the CP, for examination by certificate users and other parties. Each GOC PKI certificate must refer to a CP but may also refer to other non-conflicting CPs. For example, a GOC PKI certificate may support multiple assurance levels for either digital signature or confidentiality but not support both digital signature and confidentiality.

Certificate policies constitute a basis for accreditation of CAs. Each CA is accredited to support one or more CPs, which it proposes to implement.

Certificate policies are also used to establish a trust relationship between CAs (cross-certification). When CAs issue cross-certificates, one CA assesses and recognizes one or more certificate policies of the other CA. When a trust relationship is established directly between two CAs or indirectly through intermediate CAs, the X.509 certification path processing logic is employed to identify a common certificate policy.

### 2.2 Certification Practice Statement

The term certification practice statement (CPS) is defined in the <u>Internet X.509 Public Key</u> <u>Infrastructure Certificate Policy and Certificate Practices Framework</u> as: "A statement of the practices, which a Certification Authority employs in issuing certificates." It is a comprehensive description of such details as the precise implementation of service offerings and detailed procedures of certificate life-cycle management and will be more detailed than the certificate policies supported by the CA. A CA with a single CPS may support multiple certificate policies (used for different application purposes and/or by different certificate user communities). Also, a number of CAs, with non-identical CPSs, may support the same certificate policy.

#### 2.3 Relationship Between a Certificate Policy and a Certification Practice Statement

A CP states what assurance can be placed in a certificate. A CPS states how a CA establishes that assurance. A certificate policy may apply more broadly than to just a single organization; a CPS applies only to a single CA.

Certificate policies best serve as the vehicle on which to base common interoperability standards and common assurance criteria industry-wide (or possibly more global). A detailed CPS alone does not form a suitable basis for interoperability between CAs operated by different organizations.

### 3. ILLUSTRATIVE GOC PKI ROLES

The operation of a Certification Authority requires the assignment of certain roles with corresponding responsibilities. The CPS should state clearly who within an organization has been assigned specific roles and state their respective responsibilities. Possible roles and responsibilities are illustrated in the table below.

ROLE	LOCATION	RESPONSIBILITIES
Policy Management Authority		• Sets, implements and administers policy for the PKI
Operational Authority	СА	• Overall responsibility for the operation of the CA
PKI Master User	СА	• Initial configuration and ongoing maintenance of the CA application software and hardware
		• Starting and stopping of CA services
		Initial creation of accounts for PKI     Officers
PKI Officer	СА	Managing PKI Administrators, Local Registration Authority Administrators (account creation, modification and removal)
		• Audit of operational logs
		• Verification of certificate policy and CPS compliance
		Subscriber key recovery
PKI Administrator	Within the CA protected LAN	• PKI Subscriber administration local to the CA
LRA	LRA (outside the protected LAN)	• PKI Subscriber administration remote from the CA

ROLE	LOCATION	RESPONSIBILITIES
LRA Administrator	LRA (outside the protected LAN)	• PKI Subscriber administration remote from the CA through the use of an LRA application that assigns key material in an on-line interaction with the CA
Sponsor	Department	• Notifying/verifying CA/LRA of a Subscriber's right to a certificate and any relevant credentials of the Subscriber
		• Notifying the CA/LRA when a Subscriber's certificate is to be updated or revoked
Directory Administrator	Directory	• Managing the directory used by the CA, in particular for creating and updating directory entries for each Subscriber
System Administrator	CA/LRA	• Set-up of the hardware and operating system software

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVE	LASSURANCE	HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

## PART 2 – POLICY SPECIFICATION

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1	Overview	The certificate policies defined in this document are intended for use by departments and agencies of the Government of Canada. Users of this document are to consult the issuing Certification Authority to obtain further details of the implementation of this Certificate Policy. There are eight policies: four with respect to Digital Signature certificates and four with respect to Confidentiality certificates. The applicability of these certificates will depend on the application used.
		The four PKISignCertPcy policies are for the management and use of certificates containing public keys used for verification, authentication, integrity and key agreement mechanisms. For instance, the certificates issued under these policies could be used for verifying the identity of electronic mail correspondents or for remote access to a computer system, verifying the identity of citizens or other legal entities, or protecting the integrity of software and documents.
		The four PKIConfidentialityCertPcy policies are for the management and use of certificates containing public keys used for encryption key establishment, including key transfer. The certificates issued under these policies are suitable for providing confidentiality for applications such as electronic mail or Web communications, including the protection of GSP designated information. They are not to be used for protection of classified information.
		The term "assurance" is not intended to convey any representation or warranty as to 100% availability of CA services offered under the GOC PKI. Such availability may be affected by system maintenance, system repair or factors outside the control of the CA. The Government of Canada does not represent or warrant 100% availability offered under the GOC PKI.
		Issuance of a public key certificate under any of these policies does not imply that the Subscriber has any authority to conduct business transactions on behalf of the organization operating the CA.
		The CA will be governed by the laws of Canada and applicable provincial law concerning the enforceability, construction, interpretation and validity of this Certificate Policy.
		The Government of Canada reserves the right not to enter into a cross certification agreement with an external Certification Authority.

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

1.1	I.1 Policy overview	The Policy Object Identifier Designation for this Policy is	The Policy Object Identifier Designation for this Policy is	The Policy Object Identifier Designation for this Policy is	The Policy Object Identifier Designation for this Policy is
		A CA is not required to maintain a public repository for this type of certificate but is obliged to make a certificate available to a Subscriber. The use of rudimentary assurance level confidentiality keys is not appropriate for the confidentiality of designated information.	This policy has been designed to be used in certain situations and identifies specific roles and responsibilities for CAs which issue this type of certificate and for Local Registration Authorities which must perform tasks that may be assigned to them by the CA. Subscribers and Relying Parties also have specific obligations which are outlined in this policy.	This policy has been designed to be used in certain situations and identifies specific roles and responsibilities for CAs which issue this type of certificate and for Local Registration Authorities which must perform tasks that may be assigned to them by the CA. Subscribers and Relying Parties also have specific obligations which are outlined in this policy.	This policy has been designed to be used in certain situations and identifies specific roles and responsibilities for CAs which issue this type of certificate and for Local Registration Authorities which must perform tasks that may be assigned to them by the CA. Subscribers and Relying Parties also have specific obligations which are outlined in this policy.
		The Crown in right of Canada disclaims all liability for any use of this type of certificate. Any disputes concerning key or certificate management under this policy are to be resolved by the Department issuing the policy.	A CA may issue cross-certificates at this level of assurance and is obliged to inform Subscribers which applications are intended to be used with the GOC PKI system.	A CA may issue cross-certificates at this level of assurance and is obliged to inform Subscribers which applications are intended to be used with the GOC PKI system.	A CA may issue cross-certificates at this level of assurance and is obliged to inform Subscribers which applications are intended to be used with the GOC PKI system.
		Certificates may be issued under this policy without any authentication of a Subscriber's identity. Identification may be in any manner indicated by the CA.	A CA must ensure that it associates itself and uses one Certificate and one CRL repository for this type of certificate. Certificates must be made available to Subscribers.	A CA must ensure that it associates itself and uses one Certificate and one CRL repository for this type of certificate. Certificates must be made available to Subscribers.	A CA must ensure that it associates itself and uses one Certificate and one CRL repository for this type of certificate. Certificates must be made available to Subscribers.
		A CA is not obliged to revoke certificates under this type of policy.	The use of basic assurance level confidentiality keys is appropriate for the confidentiality of designated information that, if compromised, could cause injury outside the national interest.	The use of medium assurance level confidentiality keys is appropriate for the confidentiality of designated information that, if compromised, could cause serious injury outside the national interest.	The use of high assurance level confidentiality keys is appropriate for the confidentiality of designated information that, if compromised, could cause extremely grave injury outside the national interest.

Ī	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

1.1.1	Policy overview (continued)	A CA is not obliged to maintain a Certificate Revocation List for this type of certificate.	The Crown in right of Canada disclaims all liability for any use of this type of certificate other than uses	The Crown in right of Canada disclaims all liability for any use of this type of certificate other than uses	For this type of certificate, the Crown in right of Canada disclaims all liability for any use of this type of
	(continueu)	A CA is not obliged to maintain records or information logs for this type of certificate.	permitted by the CA. The Crown in right of Canada limits its liability for permitted uses to \$5,000 per instance of use.	permitted by the CA. The Crown in right of Canada limits its liability for permitted uses to \$50,000 per instance of use.	certificate other than uses permitted by the CA. The Crown in right of Canada limits its liability for permitted uses to \$1,000,000 per instance of use.
		A CA may permit all critical CA functions to be performed by one individual. Digital signature keys must not be backed-up or otherwise stored. Keys may have a validity period of	Any disputes concerning key or certificate management under this policy are to be resolved by the Parties concerned using an appropriate dispute settlement mechanism (i.e. through negotiation, mediation or arbitration).	Any disputes concerning key or certificate management under this policy are to be resolved by the Parties concerned using an appropriate dispute settlement mechanism (i.e. through negotiation, mediation or arbitration).	Any disputes concerning key or certificate management under this policy are to be resolved by the Parties concerned using an appropriate dispute settlement mechanism (i.e. through negotiation, mediation or arbitration).
		no more than one (1) year if CRLs are NOT used and six (6) years if CRLs are used. No personal information collected by a CA may be disclosed without the Subscriber's consent unless required	Certificates may be issued under this policy following authentication of a Subscriber's identity. Identification will be in the manner set out in this policy.	Certificates may be issued under this policy following authentication of a Subscriber's identity. Identification will be in the manner set out in this policy.	Certificates may be issued under this policy following authentication of a Subscriber's identity. Identification will be in the manner set out in this policy.
		by law. CA activities are subject to inspection.	A CA will revoke certificates in the circumstances enumerated in this policy.	A CA will revoke certificates in the circumstances enumerated in this policy.	A CA will revoke certificates in the circumstances enumerated in this policy.
		er a activities are subject to inspection.	A CA is required to maintain records or information logs in the manner described in this policy.	A CA is required to maintain records or information logs in the manner described in this policy.	A CA is required to maintain records or information logs in the manner described in this policy.
			A CA should ensure that critical CA functions are performed by at least two individuals.	A CA should ensure that critical CA functions are performed by at least three individuals.	A CA should ensure that critical CA functions are performed by at least three individuals.
			Digital signature keys must not be backed-up or otherwise stored. Keys may have a validity period as indicated in this policy. Confidentiality keys issued by a CA will be backed-up to protect against data loss or data corruption.	Digital signature keys must not be backed-up or otherwise stored. Keys may have a validity period as indicated in this policy. Confidentiality keys issued by a CA will be backed-up to protect against data loss or data corruption.	Digital signature keys must not be backed-up or otherwise stored. Keys may have a validity period as indicated in this policy. Confidentiality keys issued by a CA will be backed-up to protect against data loss or data corruption.
			No personal information collected by a CA may be disclosed without the Subscriber's consent unless required by law.	No personal information collected by a CA may be disclosed without the Subscriber's consent unless required by law.	No personal information collected by a CA may be disclosed without the Subscriber's consent unless required by law.
			CA activities are subject to inspection.	CA activities are subject to inspection.	CA activities are subject to inspection.

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

General definitions	Accreditation Authority	A PKI management Entity with the authority to permit a subordinate PKI Entity to operate within a particular domain. The PMA is the accreditation authority for all connections to the GOC PKI. A particular unit or section within a Department may be assigned the role of accreditation authority for the level 1 CA within that Department.
	Activation Data	Private data, other than keys, that are required to access cryptographic modules.
	Authority Revocation List (ARL)	A list of revoked CA certificates. An ARL is a CRL for CA cross-certificates.
	Canadian Central Facility	The Government of Canada PKI central Certification Authority. Under direction from the PMA the CCF signs and manages the cross-certificates of GOC departmental top level CAs. The CCF also signs and manages cross-certificates with non-GOC CAs. The CCF does not manage any Subscriber certificates.
	Certificate	The public key of a user, together with related information, digitally signed with the private key of the Certification Authority which issued it. The certificate format is in accordance with ITU-T Recommendation X.509.
	Certificate Revocation List (CRL)	A list maintained by a Certification Authority of the certificates which it has issued that are revoked before their natural expiry time
	Certification Authority	An authority trusted by one or more users to issue and manage X.509 public key certificates and CRLs. Each CA within the GOC PKI may issue certificates under a choice of policies based on the assurance level the CA has been accredited to and the requirements and role of the Subscriber.
	<b>Certification Authority Software</b>	The cryptographic software required to manage the keys of end entities.
	Cross-Certificate	A certificate used to establish a trust relationship between two Certification Authorities.
	Data Integrity	Assurance that the data are unchanged from creation to reception.
	Department	A department is any body as identified in Schedule I, Parts I and II of the Public Service Staff Relations Act; the Canadian Forces; and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police.
	Digital Signature	The result of a transformation of a message by means of a cryptographic system using keys such that a person who has the initial message can determine:
		(a) whether the transformation was created using the key that corresponds to the signer's key; and
		(b) whether the message has been altered since the transformation was made.
	Employee	An employee is any person employed by a "department" as defined above.
	End-Entity	An Entity that uses the keys and certificates created within the PKI for purposes other than the management of the aforementioned keys and certificates. An end-entity may be a subscriber, a relying party, a device, or an application.
	Entity	Any autonomous element within the Public Key Infrastructure. This may be a CA, an LRA or an End-Entity.
	Issuing CA	In the context of a particular certificate, the issuing CA is the CA that signed and issued the certificate.
	Level One CA	The highest level CA within a department. Level One CAs are cross-certified with the CCF and may also be cross-certified with subordinate departmental (Level Two) CAs.
	Local Registration Authority (LRA	A person or organization that is responsible for the identification and authentication of certificate Subscribers before certificate issuance, but does not actually sign or issue the certificates. A LRA is delegated certain tasks on behalf of a CA.
	MD5	One of the message digest algorithms developed by RSA Data Security Inc.
	Object Identifier	(OID) The unique alphanumeric/numeric identifier registered under the ISO registration standard to reference a specific object or object class. In the GOC PKI they are used to uniquely identify each of the eight policies and cryptographic algorithms supported.
	<b>Operational Authority</b>	Departmental personnel who are responsible for the overall operation of a GOC PKI CA.
	Organization	A department, agency, corporation, partnership, trust, joint venture or other association or governmental body.
	Policy Management Authority	A GOC body responsible for setting, implementing, and administering policy decisions regarding CPs and CPSs throughout the GOC PKI.
	Public Key Infrastructure	A set of policies, processes, server platforms, software and workstations used for the purpose of administering certificates and keys.
	Relying Party	A person who uses a certificate signed by a GOC PKI CA to authenticate a digital signature or to encrypt communications to the certificate subject, and is a Subscriber of a GOC PKI CA or a PKI which is cross certified with the GOC PKI.

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVE	L ASSURANCE	HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

1.1.2	General	Repository	A location where CRLs, ARLs and certificates are stored for access by End-Entities.						
	definitions (continued)	Sponsor	A Sponsor in the GOC PKI is the department or public servant that has nominated that a specific individual or organization be issued a certificate. (e.g., for an employee this may be the employee's manager). In the case of a certificate for a citizen or a commercial enterprise the Sponsor could be the manager of the GOC business unit that has a requirement to communicate with that Entity. The Sponsor might suggest an appropriate DN for the certificate and will be responsible for either supplying or confirming the certificate attribute details to the LRA. The Sponsor is also responsible for informing the CA or LRA if the department's relationship with the Subscriber is terminated or has changed such that the certificate should be revoked or updated.						
		Subscriber	An individual or organization whose public key is certified in a public key certificate. In the GOC PKI this could be a public servant, a citizen, or a government client or supplier. Subscribers may have one or more certificates from a specific CA associated with them; most will have at least two active certificates - one containing their Digital Signature verification key; the other containing their Confidentiality encryption key.						
1.1.3	Government security policy	Classified	Information when if compromised could reasonably be expected to cause injury to the national interest. Information of this type is generally marked as CONFIDENTIAL, SECRET, or TOP SECRET according to the gravity of injury.						
	definitions	Enhanced Reliability Check (ERC)	An assessment to determine an individual's trustworthiness; condition for enhanced reliability status.						
		Extremely sensitive	Applies to the very limited amount of information that, if compromised, could reasonably be expected to cause extremely grave injury outside the national interest, for example, loss of life. Information of this type may be marked <b>PROTECTED C</b> .						
		High-security Zone	An area to which access is controlled through an entry point and limited to authorised, appropriately screened personnel and properly escorted visitors. High-Security Zones should be access only from Security Zones, and are separated from Security Zones and Operations Zones by a perimeter built to the specifications recommended in the TRA. High-Security Zones are monit 24 hours a day and 7 days a week by security staff, other personnel or electronic means.						
		Low- sensitive	Applies to information that, if compromised, could reasonably be expected to cause injury outside the national interest, for example, disclosure of an exact salary figure. Information of this type may be marked <i>PROTECTED A</i> .						
		Operations Zone	An area where access is limited to personnel who work there and to properly escorted visitors. Operations Zones should be monitored at least periodically, based on a threat risk assessment (TRA), and should preferably be accessible from a Reception Zone						
		Particularly sensitive	Applies to information that, if compromised, could reasonably be expected to cause serious injury outside the national interest, for example loss of reputation or competitive advantage. Information of this type may be marked <b>PROTECTED B</b> .						
		Public-access Zone	Generally surrounds or forms part of a government facility. Examples include the grounds surrounding a building, and public corridors and elevator lobbies in multiple-occupancy buildings. Boundary designators such as signs and direct or remote surveillance may be used to discourage unauthorized activity.						
		Reception Zone	The entry to a facility where the initial contact between the public and the department occurs, where services are provided, information is exchanged and access to restricted (Operations, Security and High-security) zones is controlled. To varying degrees, activity in a Reception Zone is monitored by the personnel who work there, by other personnel or by security staff. Access by the public may be limited to specific times of the day or for specific reasons. Entry beyond the Reception Zone is indicated by a recognisable perimeter such as a doorway or an arrangement of furniture and dividers in an open office environment.						
		Security Zone	An area to which access is limited to authorized personnel and to authorized and properly escorted visitors. Security Zones should preferably be accessible from an Operations Zone, and through a specific entry point. A Security Zone need not be separated from an Operations Zone by a secure perimeter. A Security Zone should be monitored 24 hours a day and 7 days a week by security staff, other personnel or electronic means.						

		RUDIMENTA	ARY ASSURANCE	BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVE	L ASSURANCE	HIGH AS	SURANCE
		DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY
1.1.4	Acronyms	CACertiCCFCanaCPCertiCPSCertiCRLCertiCSEComDNDisti	nority Revocation List ification Authority idian Central Facility ificate Policy ification Practice Statement ificate Revocation List munications Security Establishm nguished Name unced Reliability Check	nent					
1.1.4	Acronyms (continued)	GOCGoveGSPGoveITUInterIETFInterLRALocaNISTNationOIDObjecPINPersonPKIPublicPMAPolicRFCRequireRSARivecSHA-1SecuTRAThree	Federal Information Processing ernment of Canada ernment Security Policy, Govern national Telecommunications U net Engineering Task Force al Registration Authority onal Institute of Standards and T oct Identifier onal Identification Number ic Key Infrastructure ic Key Infrastructure ic Key Infrastructure X.509 cy Management Authority test For Comments st-Shamir-Adleman re Hash Algorithm at and Risk Assessment form Resource Locator	nment of Canada Jnion					
1.2	Identification alphanumeric OID	id-gocpki-certpcy- digitalSignature- rudimentaryAssurance ::= {id-gocpki-certpcy-sign-1 }		id-gocpki-certpcy- digitalSignature- basicAssurance ::= {id-gocpki-certpcy-sign-2 }	id-gocpki-certpcy- confidentiality- basicAssurance ::= {id-gocpki-certpcy-conf-2 }	id-gocpki-certpcy- digitalSignature- mediumAssurance ::= {id-gocpki-certpcy-sign-3 }	id-gocpki-certpcy- confidentiality- mediumAssurance ::= {id-gocpki-certpcy-conf-3 }	id-gocpki-certpcy- digitalSignature- highAssurance ::= {id-gocpki-certpcy-sign-4 }	id-gocpki-certpcy- confidentiality- highAssurance ::= {id-gocpki-certpcy-conf-4 }
1.3	Community and applicability		have been designed to satisfy go ligated to issue, recognize or su		-		l CA may issue, recognize or sup	l pport additional certificate po	licies.

			SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE HIG		HIGH ASS	SURANCE
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

1.3.1	Certification Authorities (CAs)	A CA operating under this policy is responsible for the creation and signing of certificates binding Subscribers with their signature verification keys. A CA operating under th policy is responsible for the creation and signing certificates binding Subscribers with their public encryption keys. The CA may optionally also be responsible for creation of End-Entity Confidentiality key pair	<ul> <li>policy is responsible for the creation and signing of:</li> <li>certificates binding Subscribers, PKI personnel and (where permitted) other CAs with their signature verification keys;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A CA operating under this policy is responsible for:</li> <li>creation of End-Entity Confidentiality key pairs (if required);</li> <li>creation and signing of certificates binding Subscribers and PKI personnel with their public encryption keys;</li> <li>promulgating certificate status through CRLs; and</li> <li>ensuring adherence to this certificate policy.</li> </ul>	Same as Basic Assurance		
1.3.1	Certification Authorities (CAs) (continued)	While a department may use a contractor to provide CA services, it must remain responsible and accountable for the operation of its CA. GOC PKI Level One CAs will cross-certify only with the CCF. A cross-certification must be in accordance with the selected certificate policy and any additional requirements determined by the PMA. All cross-certification between GOC PKI CAs and non-GOC CAs will be done through the CCF pursuant to instructions from the PMA. Any agreements made with other CAs must be documented and applicable disclaimers made available to Subscribers.					
1.3.2	Local Registration Authorities (LRAs)	LRAs are not required for issuance of Rudimentary Assurance certificates as registration can be performed online directly between the CA and the End-Entity.	1		cle for all duties assigned to it by the CA. CA, providing that in doing so it satisfies all the requirements of this CP.		
1.3.3	Repositories	A public repository is not required for this type of certificate.	A CA must ensure that ther with the GOC X.500 standa		CRL repository associated with it. This repository should be in the form of one or more directories that comply		
					A. Where a repository is not under the control of a CA, the CA must ensure that the terms and conditions of its availability, access control, integrity of data, directory replication and directory chaining.		
1.3.4	Subscribers	Individuals or organizations may be Subscribers. Subscribers may be issued certificates for assignment to devices, groups, organizational roles or applications provided that responsibility and accountability is attributable to an individual or an organization.					
		GOC PKI certificates will only be issued after request	or authorisation for issuance fror	n one or more Sponsors. They	may be issued to employees, citizens, organizations or others with whom the Sponsor has relationship.		
		Eligibility for a certificate is at the sole discretion of t	he CA.				
		A CA may administer any number of Subscribers.					

RUDIMENTAR	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

1.3.5	Relying parties	No stipulation.		A Relying Party may be eith	er a Subscriber of the GOC Pl	KI or a Subscriber of a PKI wh	hich has signed a cross-certific	ation agreement with the GOC	C PKI.
1.3.6	Policy applicability	certificate use such as the authentication of relationships (but not authentication of identities) between acert end cert	his policy is intended for rtificate uses such as icryption key tablishment. It is not insidered appropriate for e confidentiality of isignated information.	This policy is suitable for the integrity and authentication of business transactions within the originator's approval limits and such that the falsification of the transaction would cause only minor financial loss or require only administrative action for correction.	This policy is suitable for certificate uses such as confidentiality key establishment for information that if compromised could reasonably be expected to cause injury outside the national interest. The Government Security Policy identifies this type of information as <b>PROTECTED A</b> .	This policy is suitable for the integrity and authentication of business transactions that if falsified could cause either significant financial loss, or require legal action for correction.	This policy is suitable for certificate uses such as confidentiality key establishment for information that if compromised could reasonably be expected to cause serious injury outside the national interest. The Government Security Policy identifies this type of information as <b>PROTECTED B</b> .	This policy is suitable for the integrity and authentication of business transactions that if falsified could cause the loss of life, imprisonment, major financial loss, or require legal action for correction.	This policy is suitable for certificate uses such as confidentiality key establishment for information that if compromised could reasonably be expected to cause extremely grave injury outside the national interest. The Government Security Policy identifies this type of information as <b>PROTECTED C</b> .
1.3.6.1	Approved and prohibited applications	A CA must advise Subscribers which applications are intended to be used with the PKI system. These applications must, as a minimum, meet the following requirements: <ul> <li>correctly establish, transfer and use the public and private keys;</li> <li>are capable of performing the appropriate certificate validity and verification checking; and</li> <li>report appropriate information and warnings to the Subscriber.</li> </ul> <li>A CA must advise Subscribers which applications are intended to be used with the PKI system.</li> <li>A CA must advise Subscribers which applications are intended to be used with the PKI system.</li> <li>Applications making use of high assurance certificate should undergo independent review to ensure that they, as a minimum, meet the following requirements: <ul> <li>correctly establish, transfers and uses the public and private keys;</li> <li>is capable of performing the appropriate information and warnings to the Subscriber.</li> </ul> </li>						e PKI system. high assurance certificates t review to ensure that they, lowing requirements: transfers and uses the public ing the appropriate d verification checking; and	
1.4	Contact details	The Government of Canada PKI Policy Management Authority, Treasury Board Secretariat, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada administers this certificate policy. The contact person is: Chairman, Government of Canada PKI Policy Management Authority Treasury Board Secretariat, 275 Slater Street, 6 <sup>th</sup> floor, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R5 Fax: (613) 946-9893, E-mail: pki-icp@tbs-sct.gc.ca							

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

#### 2. GENERAL PROVISIONS

2.1	Obligations						
<ul> <li>21.1 CA obligations</li> <li>The CA will operate in accordance with its CPS, this CP, and the laws of Canada when issuing and managing the keys provided to LRAs and Subscribers under this CP. The CA will ensure that all LRAs operating on its beh comply with the relevant provisions of this CP concerning the operation of LRAs. The CA will take all reasonable measures to ensure that Subscribers and Relying Parties are aware of their respective rights and obligation to the operation and management of any keys, certificates or End-Entity hardware and software used in connection with the PKI.</li> <li>A CA must provide notice of limitations of liability. Such notice must, at a minimum, be provided within the certificate either through a private certificate extension or the use of the userNotice field within the certificate by PKIX. Because of space limitations within a certificate, such notice must be limited to the following language: "Limited Liability. See CP-Responsabilité limitée. Voir PC."</li> <li>A CA must:         <ul> <li>issue a CPS;</li> <li>have in place mechanisms and procedures to ensure that its LRAs and Subscribers are aware of, and agree to abide with, the stipulations in this policy that apply to them;</li> <li>establish that any CA with whom it cross-certifying CAs that it complies with this CP.</li> <li>CA personnel associated with PKI roles (e.g. PKI Administrators, PKI Master Users, and PKI Officers) must be individually accountable for actions they perform. "Individually accountable" means that there must be evic</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							
	CA personnel associated with PKI roles (e.g. PKI Administrators, PKI Master Users, and PKI Officers) must be individually accountable for actions they perform. "Individually accountable" means that there must be evaluated attributes an action to the person performing the action.						
2.1.1.1	Notification of certificate issuance and revocation	An Issuing CA is required to make a certificate available to the Subscriber. A CA is not required to make CRLs available to a Subscriber or Relying Party unless otherwise stated in the Subscriber agreement.	An Issuing CA must make CRLs available to a Subscriber or Relying Party in accordance with <u>4.4</u> . An Issuing CA must notify a Subscriber when a certificate bearing the Subscriber's DN is issued or revoked.				
2.1.1.2	Accuracy of representations	When an Issuing CA publishes a certificate it certifies that it has issued a certificate to a Subscriber and that the information stated in the certificate was verified in accordance with this CP. Publication of the certificate in a repository, to which the subscriber has access, constitutes notice of such verification.         A CA will provide to each Subscriber notice of the Subscriber's rights and obligations under this Certificate Policy. Such notice may be in the form of an agreement for non-GOC employees or an acceptable use policy for GOC employees. Such notice will include a description of the allowed uses of certificates issued under this CP; the Subscriber's obligations concerning key protection; and procedures for communication between the Subscriber and the CA or LRA, including communication of changes in service delivery or changes to this policy. Subscribers should also be notified as to procedures for dealing with suspected key compromise, certificate or key renewal, service cancellation, and dispute resolution.         A CA will ensure that any notice of the Subscriber's rights and obligations under this Certificate Policy includes a description of a Relying Party's obligations with respect to use, verification and validation of certificates.					
2.1.1.3	Time between certificate request and issuance	No stipulation.	There is no stipulation for the period between the receipt of an application for a Certificate and the generation of the Entity's key material. The CA must ensure that the period for which the Entity has to complete its initialization process is no longer than five working days.	There is no stipulation for the period between the receipt of an application for a Certificate and the generation of the Entity's key material. The CA must ensure that the period for which the Entity has to complete its initialization process is no longer than two working days.	There is no stipulation for the period between the receipt of an application for a Certificate and the generation of the Entity's key material. The CA must ensure that the Entity completes its intialization process immediately upon receipt of activation data.		

RUDIMENTAR	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVE			SURANCE
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

2.1.1.4	Certificate revocation and renewal	No stipulation.	expressly stated in the Subscriber Agreement and any of	e expiration, revocation and renewal of a certificate will conform to the relevant provisions of this CP and will be ther applicable document outlining the terms and conditions of the certificate use. The CA must ensure that the key Issuing CA will also ensure that notice of revocation of a certificate will be posted to the CRL within the time limits be defined in the certificate.				
2.1.1.5	Protection of private keys	DIGITAL SIGNA	ATURE (all levels)	CONFIDENTIALITY (all levels)				
	p	All Entities must ensure that their private keys and activa	ation data are protected in accordance with $\underline{4}$ and $\underline{6}$ .	A CA must ensure that the private keys that it holds or stores, and activation data are protected in accordance with $\underline{4}$ and $\underline{6}$ .				
				All other Entities must ensure that their private keys and activation data are protected in accordance with $\frac{4}{9}$ and $\frac{6}{9}$ .				
				An Issuing CA must ensure that any Confidentiality private keys of a Subscriber that it has backed up or archived are protected in accordance with <u>6</u> . The CA may not disclose Confidentiality private keys to any other party without the prior consent of the Subscriber unless required by law. Despite the foregoing, in the case of GOC employees, for the purposes of data recovery, the CA may disclose Confidentiality private keys to that employee's Sponsor.				
2.1.1.6	Restrictions on issuing CA's private key use	A CA must ensure that its certificate signing private key is accordance with $1.3.1$ .	s used only to sign certificates and CRLs. A CA may issue	certificates to Subscribers, CA and LRA personnel, devices and applications. CA may issues cross-certificates in				
•	. ,	A CA must ensure that private keys issued to its personnel other than CA use.	st ensure that private keys issued to its personnel to access and operate CA applications are used only for such purposes. If required, its personnel would be issued CA use.					
2.1.2	LRA obligations (LRA duties)	Not applicable.	A CA must ensure that all its LRAs comply with all the	e relevant provisions of this CP and the CA's CPS.				
	(LKA uulles)			ing to the attention of Subscribers all relevant information pertaining to the rights and obligations of the CA, LRA and ement, if applicable, and any other relevant document outlining the terms and conditions of use.				
			Records of all actions carried out in performance of LR	A duties must identify the individual who performed the particular duty.				
			LRA Administrators must be individually accountable action to the person performing the action.	or actions performed on behalf of the CA. Individually accountable means that there must be evidence that attributes an				
2.1.2.1	Notification of certificate issuance and revocation	Not applicable.	There is no requirement for an LRA to notify a Relying Party of the issuance or revocation of a certificate.					
2.1.2.2	Accuracy of representations	Not applicable.	When an LRA submits Subscriber information to a CA	it must certify to the CA that it has authenticated the identity of that Subscriber in accordance with $\underline{3}$ and $\underline{4}$ .				
2.1.2.3	Protection of LRA private keys	Not applicable.       Each person performing LRA duties on-line through a remote administration application with the CA must ensure that his or her private keys are protected in accordance of a standard sta						

RUDIMENTAR	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		SURANCE			SURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

2.1.2.4	Restrictions on LRA private key	Not applicable.	Private keys used by an LRA administrator to access and operate LRA Applications on-line with the CA must not be used for any other purpose.
	use		

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

2.1.3	Subscriber obligations	An Issuing CA must ensure that a Subscriber enters into an	agreement or abides by an acceptable use policy which outlines the terms and conditions of use, including permitted applications and purposes.					
2.1.3.1	Representations	Any information required to be submitted to a CA or LRA	in connection with a certificate must be complete and accurate.					
2.1.3.2	Protection of subscriber private key and key token	Subscribers are required to protect their private keys and h	tey tokens (if applicable) in accordance with 6, and to take all reasonable measures to prevent their loss, disclosure, modification, or unauthorized use.					
2.1.3.3	Restrictions on End-Entity private key use	The Subscriber will use the keys and certificates only for t	e Subscriber will use the keys and certificates only for the purposes identified in the CP.					
2.1.3.4	Notification upon private key	o stipulation. Where a Subscriber suspects private key compromise, he or she must immediately notify the Issuing CA in a manner specified by that CA.						
	compromise		Where any other entity suspects private key compromise, they should immediately notify the Issuing CA.					
2.1.4	Relying party obligations	The rights and obligations of a Relying Party who is a me between the two PKIs.	The rights and obligations of a Relying Party who is a member of the GOC PKI are covered in this policy. The rights and obligations of a Relying Party belonging to another PKI must be addressed in the cross-certification agreement between the two PKIs.					
2.1.4.1	Use of certificates for appropriate purpose	Prior to using a Subscriber's certificate, a Relying Party n	nust ensure that it is appropriate for the intended use.					
2.1.4.2	Verification responsibilities	A Relying Party must use certificates only in accordance	with the certification path validation procedure specified in X.509 and PKIX.					
2.1.4.3	Revocation check responsibility	No stipulation.	Prior to using a certificate, a Relying Party must check the status of the certificate against the appropriate and current CRL in accordance with the requirements stated in $4.4.10$ . As part of this verification process the digital signature of the CRL must also be validated.					
2.1.5	Repository obligations	No stipulation.	The repository should be available for a high proportion of every 24-hour period. Certificates and CRLs must be available to Relying Parties in accordance with the requirements of $\frac{4.4.9}{4.4.9}$ .					
2.2	Liability							
2.2.1	Requirements		ory services, issuance and revocation of certificates, and issuance of CRLs is in accordance this CP. It will also take reasonable efforts to ensure that all LRAs and Subscribers <i>i</i> th any certificates containing this policy's OID or the associated keys.					
			CAs and LRAs will ensure that their authentication and validation procedures are implemented as set forth in <u>3</u> .					

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

2.2.2	Disclaimers of warranties and obligations	Subscribers will indemnify the Crown and save the Crown The Crown in right of Canada, its employees, servants or	harmless from any such liability. agents makes no representations, warranties or conditions,	iated public/private key pairs for any use other than in accor express or implied other than as expressly stated in this CP n the Crown and its citizens, trading partners or others using	or in any other document.	
2.2.3	Limitations of liability	The Crown, in right of Canada, disclaims any liability of any kind whatsoever for any award, damages or other claim or obligation of any kind arising from tort, contract or any other reason with respect to any service associated with the issuance, use of, or reliance upon, a GOC PKI rudimentary certificate or its associated public/private key pair. Departments may establish their own liability limits based upon individual Threat Risk Assessments.	The Crown, in right of Canada, disclaims any liability of any kind whatsoever for any award, damages or other claim or obligation of any kind arising from tort, contract or any other reason with respect to any service associated with the issuance, use of, or reliance upon, a GOC PKI basic level certificate or its associated public/private key pair, in excess of \$5,000 per instance of use by a Subscriber or Relying Party. Departments may establish their own liability limits above this recommended level based upon individual Threat Risk Assessments.	The Crown, in right of Canada, disclaims any liability of any kind whatsoever for any award, damages or other claim or obligation of any kind arising from tort, contract or any other reason with respect to any service associated with the issuance, use of, or reliance upon, a GOC PKI medium level certificate or its associated public/private key pair, in excess of \$50,000 per instance of use by a Subscriber or Relying Party. Departments may establish their own liability limits above this recommended level based upon individual Threat Risk Assessments.	The Crown, in right of Canada, disclaims any liability of any kind whatsoever for any award, damages or other claim or obligation of any kind arising from tort, contract or any other reason with respect to any service associated with the issuance, use of, or reliance upon, a GOC PKI high level certificate or its associated public/private key pair, in excess of \$1,000,000 per instance of use by a Subscriber or Relying Party. Departments may establish their own liability limits above this recommended level based upon individual Threat Risk Assessments.	
2.2.4	Other terms and conditions	The disclaimers and limitations of liability in <u>2.2.2</u> and <u>2.1</u> liability must be consistent with this Certificate Policy.	2.3 are subject to any signed contract or cross-certification	agreement that may be entered into by the Crown that provi	des otherwise. Any such disclaimers or limitations of	
2.3	Financial responsibility	A CA which contracts for the provision of its CA services	must require that any CA it uses provides satisfactory evide	ence of financial responsibility and waiver of any legislative	immunity, if applicable.	
2.4	Interpretation and I	Enforcement				
2.4.1	Governing law	A CA must ensure that any agreements by that CA will be	e governed by the laws of Canada and applicable provincial	law concerning the enforceability, construction, interpretation	on and validity of this Certificate Policy.	
2.4.2	Severability, survival, merger, notice	A CA must ensure that any agreements by that CA will co	ontain appropriate provisions governing severability, surviva	al, merger or notice.		
2.4.3	Dispute resolution procedures	Disputes related to key and certificate management are resolved by the appropriate departmental authority in conjunction with the Issuing CA.	Any dispute related to key and certificate management between the Government of Canada and an organization or individual outside of the Government of Canada should be resolved using an appropriate dispute settlement mechanism. A dispute should be resolved by negotiation if possible. A dispute not settled by negotiation should be resolved using an independent mediator acceptable to the parties to the dispute. A dispute not settled by mediation should be resolved through arbitration in accordance with the <i>Commercial Arbitration Act</i> .         A dispute related to key and certificate management between departments should be resolved by negotiation if possible. A dispute not settled by negotiation should be resolved by the PMA or, where appropriate, through a mediator or arbitrator(s) appointed by the PMA.         A dispute related to key and certificate management within a department is to be resolved by the appropriate departmental authority in conjunction with the Issuing CA.         Each CA must ensure that any agreement it enters into provides appropriate dispute resolution procedures.			

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

2.5	Fees	The charging of fees is subject to appropriate legislative a	authority and policy. Notice of any fee charged to a Subscr	iber or Relying Party must be brought to the attention of that Entity.
2.6	Publication and repository	<ul> <li>ensure, directly or through agreement with a reposite</li> <li>provide a full text version of the CPS when necessar</li> <li>Access controls may be instituted at the discretion of the order of the discretion of the discretion</li></ul>	an authorized representative of the CA, on a web site mainta ory, that operating system and repository access controls w ry for the purposes of any audit, inspection, accreditation of	if the latter is provided as a service by the CA). Certificates must be published promptly upon issuance. A CA must
2.7	Compliance inspection	A compliance inspection determines whether a CA's perfe	ormance meets the standards established in its CPS and satis	sfies the requirements of the CPs it supports.
2.7.1	Frequency of compliance inspection	<ul> <li>A CA issuing certificates pursuant to the CP must establish to the satisfaction of any CA with whom it cross-certifies that it fully complies with the requirements of this policy:</li> <li>prior to initial cross-certification with a GOC PKI CA; and</li> <li>as a minimum every three years thereafter.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A CA issuing certificates pursuant to this CP must establish to the satisfaction of any CA with whom it cross- certifies that it fully complies with the requirements of this policy:</li> <li>prior to initial cross-certification with a GOC PKI CA; and</li> <li>as a minimum every two years thereafter.</li> <li>One of every three inspections must be done by an agency external to the department. The PMA, at its discretion, may request the Deputy Minister responsible for the CA to have a compliance inspection by an agency external to the department at any time.</li> <li>The CA must certify annually to the PMA that they have at all times during the period in question complied with the requirements of this policy. The CA must also provide to the PMA reasons for which the CA has not complied with its CP and state any periods of non-compliance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A CA issuing certificates pursuant to this CP must establish to the satisfaction of any CA with whom it cross certifies that it fully complies with the requirements of this policy:</li> <li>prior to initial cross-certification with a GOC PKI CA; and</li> <li>as a minimum every twelve months thereafter.</li> <li>One of every five inspections must be done by an agency external to the department. The PMA, at its discretion, may request the Deputy Minister responsible for the CA to have a compliance inspection by an agency external to the department at any time.</li> <li>The CA must certify annually to the PMA that they have at all times during the period in question complied with the requirements of this policy. The CA must also provide to the PMA reasons for which the CA has not complied with its CP and state any periods of non-compliance.</li> </ul>
2.7.2	ldentity/ qualifications of CA inspector	Any person or entity, external to the GOC, seeking to per	l form a compliance inspection must possess significant exp	erience with PKI and cryptographic technologies as well as the operation of relevant PKI software.

	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	

2.7.3	Inspector's relationship to audited CA	Where an inspector is within the GOC, the inspector must be independent of the CA.         Where an inspector is external to the GOC, the inspector must be independent of the CA and must comply with the provisions of the Conflict of Interest and Post-Employment Code for Public Office Holders or the Conflict of Interest and Post-Employment Code for the Public Service. No person may be appointed an inspector to perform an inspector who is, whose partner is, or a member of whose firm is: <ul> <li>(i) a member of the relevant Minister's family;</li> <li>(ii) a member of the family of another Minister or of colleagues in the House of Commons or Senate; or</li> <li>(iii) employed in, or a member of the immediate family of, a person referred to above where such family members are employed in a senior position of authority in a non-government organization.</li> </ul> <li>No member of the House of Commons or the Senate shall be admitted to share any part of a contract between the inspector and the Government of Canada, nor any resulting benefit.</li>				
2.7.4	Topics covered by inspection	<ul> <li>The compliance inspection must follow the inspection guidelines instituted by PMA. This will include whether:</li> <li>the CPS outlines, in sufficient detail, the technical, procedural and personnel policies and practices of the CA which meet the requirements of all the certificate policies supported by the CA;</li> <li>the CA implements and complies with those technical, procedural and personnel practices and policies; and</li> <li>an LRA, if used, implements and complies those technical, procedural and personnel practices and policies set out by the CA.</li> </ul>				
2.7.5	Actions taken as a result of inspection	The inspection results must be submitted to the accreditation authority and PMA. If irregularities are found, the CA must submit a report to the accreditation authority and PMA as to any action the CA will take in response to the inspection report. Where a CA fails to take appropriate action in response to the inspection report, the accreditation authority may: 				
2.7.6	Communication of results	CAs cross-certified with the CCF must provide the PMA with a copy of the results of the compliance inspection. These results will not be made public unless required by law. The method and detail of notification of inspection results to CAs cross-certified with the CA must be defined within the cross-certification agreement between the two parties.				

Γ	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

2.8	Confidentiality of Information	DIGITAL SIGNATURE (all levels)	CONFIDENTIALITY (all levels)
		Certificates and CRLs, and personal or corporate information appearing on them and in public directories, are not considered sensitive, (sensitive in accordance with the Government Security Policy). All other personal or corporate information held by a CA or an LRA (e.g., registration and revocation information, logged events, correspondence between the Subscriber and the CA or LRA, etc.) is considered sensitive and must not be disclosed without the prior consent of the Subscriber, unless required by law.	Certificates and CRLs, and personal or corporate information appearing on them and in public directories, are not considered sensitive, (sensitive in accordance with the Government Security Policy). All other personal or corporate information held by a CA or an LRA (e.g., registration and revocation information, logged events, correspondence between the Subscriber and the CA or LRA, etc.) is considered sensitive and must not be disclosed without the prior consent of the Subscriber, unless required by law.
		The Digital Signature private key of each Subscriber is to be held only by the Subscriber and must be kept confidential by them. Any disclosure by the Subscriber is at the Subscriber's own risk. Inspection information is to be considered sensitive and must not be disclosed to anyone for any purpose other than inspection purposes or where required by law.	The Subscriber must keep the Subscriber's copy of their Confidentiality private key confidential. Disclosure by the Subscriber is at the Subscriber's own risk. However, Confidentiality private keys may be backed-up by the issuing CA or another party on behalf of the CA, in which case these keys must be protected in accordance with Section $\underline{6}$ , and must not be disclosed to any other party without the prior consent of the Subscriber or, in the case of an employee, the Sponsor, unless required by law.
		Information pertaining to the CA's management of a Subscriber's Digital Signature certificate may only be disclosed to the Subscriber, the Sponsor or where required by law.	Inspection information is considered sensitive and must not be disclosed to anyone for any purpose other than inspection purposes or where required by law.
		Any requests for the disclosure of information must be signed and delivered to the CA. Any disclosure of information is subject to the requirements of the <i>Privacy Act</i> , the <i>Access to Information Act</i> ,	Information pertaining to the CA's management of a Subscriber's Confidentiality certificate may only be disclosed to the Subscriber, the Sponsor or where required by law.
		other relevant legislation and any applicable Government of Canada policy.	Any requests for the disclosure of information must be signed and delivered to the CA.
			Any disclosure of information is subject to the requirements of the <i>Privacy Act</i> , the <i>Access to Information Act</i> , other relevant legislation and any applicable Government of Canada policy.
2.9	Intellectual property rights	No stipulation.	

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

#### 3. IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION

3.1	Initial Registration			
3.1.1	Types of names	No stipulation.	Each Entity must have a clearly distinguishable and unique X.501 Distinguished Name ( Entity may use an alternative name via the SubjectAlternateName field, which printableString and must not be blank.	
3.1.2	Need for names to be meaningful	No stipulation.	DIGITAL SIGNATURE (BASIC, MEDIUM AND HIGH)	CONFIDENTIALITY (BASIC, MEDIUM AND HIGH)
			The contents of each certificate Subject and Issuer name fields must have an association with the authenticated name of the Entity. In the case of individuals the Relative Distinguished Name (RDN) should be a combination of first name, surname, and optionally initials. This RDN may also include an organizational position or role. In the case of other entities the RDN will reflect the authenticated legal name of the Entity. Where a certificate refers to a role or position, the certificate must also contain the identity of the person who holds that role or position. A certificate issued for a device or application must include within the DN the name of the person or organization responsible for that device or application.	The contents of each certificate Subject and Issuer name fields must have an association with the authenticated name of the Entity. In the case of individuals the Relative Distinguished Name (RDN) should be a combination of first name, surname, and optionally initials. This RDN may also include an organizational position or role. In the case of other entities the RDN will reflect the authenticated name of the Entity but does not have to include the name of the Subscriber. Where a certificate refers to a role or position, the certificate must also contain the identity of the person who holds that role or position. A certificate issued for a device or application must include within the DN the name of the person or organization responsible for that device or application.
3.1.3	Rules for interpreting various name forms	No stipulation.	I	
3.1.4	Uniqueness of names	Distinguished names must be unique for all End-entities of differentiate Subscribers with identical names will not be s	f a CA. For each End-Entity additional numbers or letters may be appended to the commo upported.	DDName to ensure the RDN's uniqueness. The Unique Identifiers capability to
3.1.5	Name claim dispute resolution procedure		Entity names in all assigned certificates. A party requesting a certificate must demonstra under its control, a CA must ensure that there is a name claim dispute resolution procedu	
3.1.6	Recognition, authentication and roles of trademarks	The use of trademarks will be reserved to registered trade	nark holders.	

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

3.1.7	Method to prove possession of private key	No stipulation.	Prior to the issuance of a verification certificate, the Issuing CA and End- Entity will confirm their respective identities through the use of a shared secret.	Prior to the exchange of a private decryption key, the Issuing CA and End- Entity will confirm their respective identities through the use of a shared secret.	Same as Basic Assurance
			The key transfer protocol described in PKIX Certificate Management Protocol is suitable for this requirement.	The key transfer protocol described in PKIX Certificate Management Protocol is suitable for this requirement.	

	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DI	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

3.1.8	Authentication of organization identity	The identification of a prospective Subscriber may range from on line submission to face to face authentication in person.	<ul> <li>An application for an organization to be a Subscriber may be made by an individual or an organization authorized to act on behalf of the prospective Subscriber.</li> <li>Identification and authentication of the prospective Subscriber must be through one of the following means: <ul> <li>the CA or LRA must examine documentation providing evidence of the existence of the organization;</li> <li>if the department has previously established the identity of the organization using a process that satisifies the CA, and there have been no changes in the information presented, then the CA or LRA and the prospective Subscriber may utilize privately shared information.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The CA or LRA must also verify the identity and authority of the individual or organization acting on behalf of the prospective Subscriber and their authority to receive the keys on behalf of that organization.</li> <li>The CA or LRA must keep a record of the type and details of identification used.</li> </ul>	These certificates are not intended for use by organizations. Where the technology does not permit the independent generation of Digital Signature and Confidentiality key pairs, the Digital Signature key pair shall not be used.	<ul> <li>An application for an organization to be a Subscriber must be made by an individual authorized to act on behalf of the prospective Subscriber.</li> <li>Identification and authentication of the prospective Subscriber must be through one of the following means:</li> <li>the CA or LRA must examine notarized copies of documentation providing evidence of the existence of the organization;</li> <li>if the department has previously established the identity of the organization using a process that satisifies the CA, and there have been no changes in the information presented, then the CA or LRA and the prospective Subscriber may utilize privately shared information.</li> </ul>	Same as Medium Assurance	An application for an organization to be a Subscriber must be made by an individual authorized to act on behalf of the prospective Subscriber. In addition to the identification and authentication described below, that individual must personally present him or herself to the CA or LRA for authentication prior to token initialization. Identification and authentication of the prospective Subscriber must be through one of the following means: • the CA or LRA must examine notarized copies of documentation providing evidence of the existence of the organization;
-------	---	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------	---

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS			MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	

24.0	Authentication of	The CA or LRA must also	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3.1.8			• if the department has
	organization	verify the identity and	previously
	identity	authority of the individual	established the
	(continued)	acting on behalf of the	identity of the
		prospective Subscriber and	organization using a
		their authority to receive	process that satisifies
		the keys on behalf of that	the CA, and there
		organization.	have been no
			changes in the
		The CA or LRA must	information
		keep a record of the type	presented, then the
		and details of	CA or LRA and the
		identification used.	prospective
			Subscriber may utilize
			privately shared
			information.
			The CA or LRA must also
			verify the identity of the
			individual acting on behalf
			of the prospective
			Subscriber and their
			authority to receive the
			initialised token on behalf
			of that organization.
			The CA or LRA must
			keep a record of the type
			and details of
			identification used.
			Renarication used.

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS			MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	

r					T
3.1.9	Authentication of individual identity	The identification of a prospective Subscriber may range from on line submission to face to face authentication in person.	An application for an individual to be a Subscriber may be made by the individual, or by another person or organization authorized to act on behalf of the prospective Subscriber.	An application for an individual to be a Subscriber may be made by the individual, or by another person or organization authorized to act on behalf of the prospective Subscriber.	An application for an individual to be a Subscriber may be made by the individual, or by another person or organization authorized to act on behalf of the prospective Subscriber.
			<ul> <li>Identification and authentication of the individual must be through one of the following means:</li> <li>the CA or LRA will compare the identity of the individual with two pieces of identification (photocopies or originals). At least one of these must be government identification containing a photograph; or</li> <li>if the department has previously established the identity of an individual using a process that satisfies the CA, and there have been no changes in the information presented, then the CA or LRA and the individual may utilize this privately shared information.</li> <li>The CA or LRA must keep a record of the type and details of identification used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identification and authentication of the individual must be through one of the following means:</li> <li>the CA or LRA will compare the identity of the individual with two pieces of identification (notarized copies or originals). At least one of these must be government identification containing a photograph; or</li> <li>if the department has previously established the identity of an individual using a process that satisfies the CA, and there have been no changes in the information presented, then the CA or LRA and the individual may utilize this privately shared information.</li> <li>The CA or LRA must keep a record of the type and details of identification used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In addition to the identification and authentication described below, the prospective Subscriber must personally present him or herself to the CA or LRA for authentication prior to token initialisation.</li> <li>Identification and authentication of the individual must be through one of the following means: <ul> <li>the CA or LRA will compare the identity of the individual with two pieces of identification (notarized copies or originals). At least one of these must be government identification containing a photograph; or</li> <li>if the department has previously established the identity of an individual using a process that satisfies the CA, and there have been no changes in the information presented, then the CA or LRA and the individual may utilize this privately shared information.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The CA or LRA must keep a record of the type and details of identification used.</li> </ul>
3.1.10	Authentication of devices or applications	No stipulation.	the purposes of accountability and responsibility. Identification and authentication of the applicant must for	ntity may be made by an individual or organization to which llow $3.1.8$ or $3.1.9$ as if that individual or organization was ridual or organization making the application and its author s of identification used.	applying for the certificate on its own behalf.
3.2	Authentication for routine rekey		hose name the keys have been issued. All requests for rekey t 3 – Certificate Management Protocol. An Entity requesting e same manner as the initial registration.		
3.3	Authentication for rekey after revocation		nged or there is a known or suspected compromise of the prive the CA or the LRA authorized to act on behalf of that CA b		ner as for initial registration. Any change in the

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

3.4		A CA, or an LRA acting on its behalf, must authenticate a request for revocation of a certificate. A CA must establish and make publicly available the process by which it addresses such requests and the means by which it will establish the validity of the request.
	request	Requests for revocation of certificates must be logged.

		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

#### 4. OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

4.1	Application for a	The precedures and requirements for Contificate	A CA must ensure that all	A CA must ensure that all	Same as Basic Assurance
4.1	certificate	The procedures and requirements for Certificate application will be established and published in the CPS	procedures and	A CA must ensure that all procedures and	Same as dasic Assurance
	Certificate	or a publicly available document.	requirements with respect		
		or a publicity available document.		requirements with respect	
			to an application for a	to an application for a	
			certificate are set out in	certificate are set out in	
			the CPS or a publicly	the CPS or a publicly	
			available document. Bulk	available document. Bulk	
			applications on behalf of	applications on behalf of	
			End-Entities are permitted	End-Entities are permitted	
			to be made only by	to be made only by	
			persons authorized to	persons authorized to	
			make such applications.	make such applications.	
			A CA must ensure that	A CA must ensure that	
			each application be	each application be	
			accompanied by:	accompanied by:	
			<ul> <li>proof of the End-</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>proof of the End-</li> </ul>	
			Entity's identity;	Entity's identity;	
			• proof of authorization	• proof of authorization	
			for any requested	for any requested	
			certificate attributes;	certificate attributes;	
			• in the case of	• in the case of	
			employees, an	employees, an	
			acknowledgement, or	acknowledgement, or	
			in the case of other	in the case of other	
			subscribers, a signed	subscribers, a signed	
			agreement, of the	agreement, of the	
			applicable terms and	applicable terms and	
			conditions governing	conditions governing	
1			their use of the	their use of the	
1			certificate;	certificate.	
1			• a public verification		
1			key generated by the	An application for a	
1			End-Entity.	certificate does not oblige	
1				a CA to issue a certificate.	
1			An application for a		
1			certificate does not oblige		
			a CA to issue a certificate.		

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

4.1.1	Application for a cross-certificate	The CCF will identify all procedures and requirements with	respect to an application for a cross-certificate in its cross-certification procedures.					
		<ul> <li>A CA requesting cross-certification through the CCF must e</li> <li>its Certificate Policy;</li> </ul>	ensure that each application be accompanied by:					
		<ul> <li>an external audit inspection report validating the assu</li> <li>the public verification key generated by the CA.</li> </ul>	arance level stated in the CP;					
		An application for a cross-certificate does not oblige the CC	CF to issue a cross-certificate.					
4.2	Certificate issuance	The issuance and publication of a certificate by a CA indica	issuance and publication of a certificate by a CA indicates a complete and final approval of the certificate application by the CA.					
4.3	Certificate acceptance	A CA must ensure that an Entity acknowledges acceptance	must ensure that an Entity acknowledges acceptance of a certificate. For a device or application this acknowledgement may be done by the individual or organization responsible for the device or application.					
4.4	Certificate Suspens	ion and Revocation						
4.4.1	Circumstances for revocation	<ul> <li>Where a CA adopts a policy of revoking certificates, it should follow the requirements as set out in the Basic Assurance policy.</li> <li>Where a CA is cross-certified with the CCF, the CCF must revoke a cross-certificate:</li> <li>when any of the information in the certificate changes;</li> <li>upon suspected or known compromise of the private key;</li> <li>upon suspected or known compromise of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A certificate must be revoked:</li> <li>when any of the information in the certificate changes;</li> <li>upon suspected or known compromise of the private key;</li> <li>upon suspected or known compromise of the media holding the private key.</li> </ul> The CA in its discretion may revoke a certificate when an Entity fails to comply with obligations set out in this CP, the CPS, any agreement or any applicable law. Where a CA is cross-certified with the CCF, the CCF must revoke a cross-certificate: <ul> <li>when any of the information in the certificate changes;</li> <li>upon suspected or known compromise of the private key;</li> <li>upon suspected or known compromise of the private key;</li> <li>upon suspected or known compromise of the private key;</li> <li>upon suspected or known compromise of the private key;</li> <li>upon suspected or known compromise of the media holding the private key.</li> </ul>					
4.4.2	Who can request revocation	<ul> <li>The revocation of a cross-certificate may only be requested by:</li> <li>the CA on whose behalf the cross-certificate was issued;</li> <li>the personnel operating the CCF;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The revocation of a certificate may only be requested by:</li> <li>the Subscriber in whose name the certificate was issued;</li> <li>the individual or organization which made the application for the certificate on behalf of a device or application;</li> <li>the Sponsor;</li> <li>personnel of the Issuing CA;</li> <li>personnel of an LRA associated with the Issuing CA.</li> </ul> The revocation of a cross-certificate may only be requested by: <ul> <li>the CA on whose behalf the cross-certificate was issued;</li> <li>the personnel operating the CCF;</li> <li>the PMA.</li> </ul>					

		BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

4.4.3	Procedure for revocation request	No stipulation.	A CA must ensure that all procedures and requirements with respect to the revocation of a certificate are set out in the CPS or otherwise made publicly available. authenticated revocation request, and any resulting actions taken by the CA, must be recorded and retained. In the case where a certificate is revoked, full justific revocation must also be documented. Where an Entity certificate is revoked, the revocation will be published in the appropriate CRL. Where a cross-certificate is revoked the revocation will be published in the spropriate CRL.						
4.4.4	Revocation request grace period	No stipulation.	Any action taken as a result of a request for the revocation of a certificate must be initiated immediately if the request is received during local business hours of the CA or within twenty-four (24) hours of receipt.	Any action taken as a result of a request for the revocation of a certificate must be initiated immediately if the request is received during local business hours of the CA or within twelve (12) hours of receipt.	Any action taken as a result of a request for the revocation of a certificate must be initiated immediately upon receipt.				
4.4.5	Circumstances for suspension	The GOC PKI does not currently support certificate suspe	nsion.						
4.4.6	Who can request suspension	Not applicable.							
4.4.7	Procedure for suspension request	Not applicable.	lot applicable.						
4.4.8	Limits on suspension period	Not applicable.	Not applicable.						
4.4.9	CRL issuance frequency	No stipulation.	A CA must ensure that it issues an up to date CRL at least every twenty-four hours. A CA must also ensure that its CRL issuance is synchronised with any directory synchronisation to ensure the accessibility of the most recent CRL to Relying Parties. When a certificate is revoked due to key compromise the updated CRL must be issued immediately.	A CA must ensure that it issues an up to date CRL at least every twelve hours. A CA must also ensure that its CRL issuance is synchronised with any directory synchronisation to ensure the accessibility of the most recent CRL to Relying Parties. When a certificate is revoked due to key compromise the updated CRL must be issued immediately.	A CA must ensure that it issues an up to date CRL at least every four hours. A CA must also ensure that its CRL issuance is synchronised with any directory synchronisation to ensure the accessibility of the most recent CRL to Relying Parties. When a certificate is revoked due to key compromise the updated CRL must be issued immediately.				
4.4.10	CRL checking requirements	No stipulation.	A Relying Party must check the status of all certificates in verify the authenticity and integrity of CRLs and ARLs.	I the certificate validation chain against the current CRLs ar	nd ARLs prior to their use. A Relying Party must also				
4.4.11	On-line revocation/ status checking availability	The GOC PKI does not currently support on-line revocati	on/status checking.						
4.4.12	On-line revocation checking requirements	Not applicable.							

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	GITAL SIGNATURE CONFIDENTIALITY DIGITAL SIGNATURE		CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

4.4.13	Other forms of revocation advertisements available	No stipulation.		
4.4.14	Checking requirements for other forms of revocation advertisements	Not applicable.		
4.4.15	Special requirements re: key compromise	In the event of the compromise, or suspected compromise, of a CA signing key, the CA must immediately notify all CAs to whom it has issued cross- certificates and the PMA. There is no requirement for any other Entity to notify the CA in the event of the compromise or suspected compromise of its private key.	DIGITAL SIGNATURE (BASIC, MEDIUM AND HIGH) In the event of the compromise, or suspected compromise, of a CA signing key, the CA must immediately notify all CAs to whom it has issued cross-certificates and the PMA. In the event of the compromise, or suspected compromise, of any other Entity's signing key, an Entity must notify the Issuing CA immediately. A CA must ensure that its CPS or a publicly available document and appropriate agreements contain provisions outlining the means it will use to provide notice of compromise or suspected compromise.	CONFIDENTIALITY (BASIC, MEDIUM AND HIGH) In the event of the compromise, or suspected compromise, of any other Entity's decryption private key, an Entity must notify the Issuing CA immediately. A CA must ensure that its CPS or a publicly available document and appropriate agreements contain provisions outlining the means it will use to provide notice of compromise or suspected compromise.

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

4.5	System Security Au	dit Procedures						
4.5.1	Types of event recorded	No stipulation.	<ul> <li>system start-up and shutdown;</li> <li>CA application start-up and shutdown;</li> <li>attempts to create, remove, set passwords or change the system privileges of the PKI Master Officer, PKI Officer, or PKI Administrator;</li> <li>changes to CA details and/or keys;</li> <li>changes to CA details and/or keys;</li> <li>changes to cretificate creation policies e.g., validity period;</li> <li>login and logoff attempts;</li> <li>unauthorized attempts ta network access to the CA system;</li> <li>unauthorized attempts to access system files;</li> <li>generation of own als subordinate Entity keys;</li> <li>creation and revocation of certificates;</li> <li>attempts to initialise remove, enable, and disable Subscribers, and update and recover their keys;</li> <li>failed read-and-write operations on the certificate and CRL directory.</li> </ul> All logs, whether electronic or manual, should contain the date and time of the event, and the identity of the entity which caused the event. A CA should also collect and consolidate, either electronically or manually, security information not CA-system generated such as: <ul> <li>physical access logs;</li> <li>system configuration changes and maintenance;</li> <li>personnel changes;</li> <li>discrepancy and compromise reports;</li> <li>records of the destruction of media containing key material, activation data, or personal Subscriber information. A CA must ensure that the CPS indicates what information is logged. To facilitate decision-making, all agreements and correspondence relating to CA services should be collected and consolidated, either electronically or manually, in a single location.</li></ul>					
4.5.2	Frequency of audit log processing	No stipulation.	A CA must ensure that its audit logs are reviewed by CA personnel at least once every two weeks and all significant events are explained in an audit log summary. Such reviews involve verifying that the log has not been tampered with, and then briefly inspecting all log entries, with a more thorough investigation of any alerts or irregularities in the logs. Actions taken following these reviews must be documented.	A CA must ensure that its audit logs are reviewed by CA personnel at least once every week and all significant events are explained in an audit log summary. Such reviews involve verifying that the log has not been tampered with, and then briefly inspecting all log entries, with a more thorough investigation of any alerts or irregularities in the logs. Supporting manual and electronic logs from the CA and LRA should be compared where any action is deemed suspicious. Actions taken following these reviews must be documented.	A CA must ensure that its audit logs are reviewed by CA personnel at least daily and all significant events are explained in an audit log summary. Such reviews involve verifying that the log has not been tampered with, and then briefly inspecting all log entries, with a more thorough investigation of any alerts or irregularities in the logs. Supporting manual and electronic logs from the CA and LRA should be compared where any action is deemed suspicious. Actions taken following these reviews must be documented.			

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE			SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	DIGITAL SIGNATURE CONFIDENTIALITY		CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

4.5.3	Retention period for audit log	No stipulation.	A CA must retain its audit logs onsite for at least two months and subsequently retain them in the manner described in <u>4.6</u> .
4.5.4	Protection of audit log	No stipulation.	The electronic audit log system must include mechanisms to protect the log files from unauthorized viewing, modification, and deletion.
	log		Manual audit information must be protected from unauthorized viewing, modification and destruction.
4.5.5	Audit log back-up procedures	No stipulation.	Audit logs and audit summaries must be backed up or copied if in manual form.
4.5.6	Audit collection system	No stipulation.	A CA must identify its audit collection systems in the CPS.
4.5.7	Notification to event causing subject	No stipulation.	Where an event is logged by the audit collection system no notice need be given to the individual, organization, device or application which caused the event.
4.5.8	Vulnerability assessments	No stipulation.	Events in the audit process are logged, in part, to monitor system vulnerabilities. The CA must ensure that a vulnerability assessment is performed, reviewed and revised following an examination of these monitored events.
4.6	Records archival	No stipulation.	Digital Signature certificates, Confidentiality private keys stored by the CA, and ARLs and CRLs generated by the CA, must be retained for at least one year after the expiration of the key material. This requirement does not include the back-up of private signature keys.
			Audit information as detailed in 4.5, Subscriber agreements and any identification and authentication information should be retained for at least six years.
			Confidentiality private keys that are backed up by the CA are to be protected at a level of physical and cryptographic protection equal to or exceeding that in place at the CA site.
			A second copy of all material retained or backed up must be stored in a location other than the CA site and must be protected either by physical security alone, or a combination of physical and cryptographic protection. Any such secondary site must provide adequate protection from environmental threats such as temperature, humidity and magnetism.
			A CA should verify the integrity of the back-ups once every six months.
			Material stored off-site must be periodically verified for data integrity.
			In addition to the foregoing, information retained or backed up by a CA may be subject to the National Archives Act.

	RUDIMENTAR	Y ASSURANCE	BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVE	L ASSURANCE	HIGH ASS	SURANCE	
	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	
Key changeover	No stipulation.		revoked. A Subscriber, the process are indicated in its C Subscribers without valid k Where a Subscriber's certif satisfaction prior to certific	CA, or the LRA may initiate CPS. eys must be re-authenticated icate has been revoked as a r	ir within three months prior to this key changeover process. by the CA or LRA in the same esult of non-compliance, the CA ure key.	Automated key changeover is manner as the initial registrat	s permitted. A CA must ensure	e that the details of this	
Compromise and Di	isaster Recovery		·						
Computing resources, software, and/or data are corrupted	No stipulation.		A CA must establish business continuity procedures that outline the steps to be taken in the event of the corruption or loss of computing resources, software and/or data. Where a repository is not under the control of the CA, a CA must ensure any agreement with the repository provides that business continuity procedures be established and documented by the repository.						
Entity public certificate is revoked	No stipulation.		<ul> <li>In the event of the need for must immediately notify:</li> <li>the PMA;</li> <li>all CAs to whom it has it</li> <li>all of its LRAs;</li> <li>all subscribers;</li> <li>all individuals or organidevice or application.</li> <li>The CA must also:</li> <li>publish the certificate set</li> <li>revoke all cross-certification.</li> <li>After addressing the factors</li> <li>generate a new CA signification.</li> </ul>	assued cross-certificates; zations who are responsible for erial number on an appropriat ates signed with the revoked s that led to revocation, the C	Signature certificate, the CA or a certificate used by a e CRL; Digital Signature certificate.	CONFIDENTIALITY (BASIC, MEDIUM AND HIGH) e CA In the event of the need for revocation of a CA's Confidentiality certificate Certificate serial number should be included on an appropriate CRL.			

In the event of the need for revocation of any other Entity's Digital Signature

certificate see <u>4.4</u>.

4.7

4.8

4.8.1

4.8.2

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

4.8.2.1	Entity public certificate is	No stipulation.	DIGITAL SIGNATURE (BASIC, MEDIUM, HIGH)	CONFIDENTIALITY (BASIC, MEDIUM AND HIGH)	
	downgraded		<ul> <li>In the event of the need for the downgrade of a CA's Digital Signature certificate, the CA must immediately notify:</li> <li>the PMA;</li> <li>all CAs to whom it has issued cross-certificates;</li> <li>all of its LRAs;</li> <li>all Subscribers;</li> <li>all individuals or organizations who are responsible for a certificate used by a device or application.</li> <li>Prior to re-establishing cross-certificates issued to the CA;</li> <li>request revocation of cross-certificates issued to the CA;</li> <li>provide appropriate notice (see <u>4.8.2</u>);</li> <li>generate a new CA signing key pair;</li> <li>re-issue certificates to all Entities and ensure all CRLs and ARLs are signed using the new key.</li> <li>In the event of the need for downgrade of any other Entity's Digital Signature certificate the CA or LRA must notify the subscriber in a manner set out in its CPS and the subscriber agreement.</li> </ul>	The certificate serial number should appear on an appropriate CRL. In the event of the need for downgrade of any other Entity's Confidentiality certificate the CA or LRA must notify the subscriber in a manner set out in its CPS and the subscriber agreement.	
4.8.3	Entity key is compromised	No stipulation.	<ul> <li>DIGITAL SIGNATURE (BASIC, MEDIUM, HIGH)</li> <li>In the event of the compromise of a CA's Digital Signature key, prior to recertification within the GOC PKI, a CA must: <ul> <li>request revocation of cross-certificates issued to the CA;</li> <li>revoke all certificates issued using that key;</li> <li>provide appropriate notice (see <u>4.8.2</u>).</li> </ul> </li> <li>After addressing the factors that led to key compromise, the CA may: <ul> <li>generate a new CA signing key pair;</li> <li>re-issue certificates to all Entities and ensure all CRLs and ARLs are signed using the new key.</li> </ul> </li> <li>In the event of the compromise, or suspected compromise, of any other Entity's Digital Signature key, the Entity must notify the Issuing CA immediately.</li> <li>A CA must ensure that its CPS and appropriate agreements contain provisions outlining the means it will use to provide notice of compromise or suspected compromise.</li> </ul>	CONFIDENTIALITY (BASIC, MEDIUM AND HIGH) In the event of the compromise, or suspected compromise, of a CA decryption private key, the CA must notify the PMA immediately. In the event of the compromise, or suspected compromise, of any other Entity's decryption private key, the Entity must notify the Issuing CA immediately. A CA must ensure that its CPS and appropriate agreements contain provisions outlining the means it will use to provide notice of compromise or suspected compromise.	

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

4.8.4	Secure facility after a natural or other type of disaster	No stipulation.	A CA must establish a disaster recovery plan outlining the steps to be taken to re-establish a secure facility in the event of a natural or other type of disaster. Where a repository is not under the control of the CA, a CA must ensure that any agreement with the repository provides that a disaster recovery plan be established and documented by the repository.
4.9	CA termination	No stipulation.	In the event that a CA ceases operation, it must notify its Subscribers immediately upon the termination of operations and arrange for the continued retention of the CA's keys and information. It must also notify all CA's with whom it is cross-certified. In the event of a change in management of a CA's operations, the CA must notify all Entities for which it has issued certificates and CA's with whom it has cross-certified. In the event of a transfer of a CA's operations to another CA operating at a lower level of assurance the certificates issued by the CA whose operations are being transferred must be revoked through a CRL signed by that CA prior to the transfer. The CA archives should be retained in the manner and for the time indicated in <u>4.6</u> .

	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
1	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

## 5. PHYSICAL, PROCEDURAL AND PERSONNEL SECURITY

5.1 Physical Controls				
5.1.1 Site location, 5.1.2 construction and physical access	<ul> <li>The CA site must:</li> <li>satisfy at least the requirements for a Operations Zone; and</li> <li>be manually or electronically monitored for unauthorized intrusion.</li> <li>If LRA workstations are employed, each LRA workstation must be located in an area that satisfies the controls required for a Reception Zone.</li> <li>Where a PIN or password is recorded, it must be stored in a locked filing cabinet or container accessible only to designated personnel.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The CA site must:</li> <li>satisfy at least the requirements for a Security Zone;</li> <li>be manually or electronically monitored for unauthorized intrusion at all times;</li> <li>ensure unescorted access to the CA server is limited to those personnel identified on an access list;</li> <li>ensure personnel not on the access list are properly escorted and supervised;</li> <li>ensure a site access log is maintained and inspected periodically; and</li> <li>ensure all removable media and paper containing sensitive plaintext information are stored in containers either listed in, or of equivalent strength to those listed in, the Security Equipment Guide.</li> <li>All LRA sites must be located in areas that satisfy the controls required for a Reception Zone.</li> <li>If an LRA is permitted to submit on-line requests in a session with the CA, the CA will ensure the operation of the LRA site provides appropriate security protection of the cryptographic module and the LRA</li> <li>Administrator's private key. The CA must conduct a threat and risk assessment. For example, the cryptographic module and the LRA Administrator's private key could be stored in a secure container or safe.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The CA site must:</li> <li>satisfy at least the requirements for a Security Zone;</li> <li>be manually or electronically monitored for unauthorized intrusion at all times;</li> <li>ensure unescorted access to the CA server is limited to those personnel identified on an access list;</li> <li>ensure personnel not on the access list are properly escorted and supervised;</li> <li>ensure a site access log is maintained and inspected periodically; and</li> <li>ensure all removable media and paper containing sensitive plaintext information are stored in containers either listed in, or of equivalent strength to those listed in, the Security Equipment Guide.</li> <li>All LRA sites must be located in areas that satisfy the controls required for a Reception Zone.</li> <li>If an LRA workstation is used for on-line Entity management with the CA, the workstation must be located in either:</li> <li>an Operations Zone; or</li> <li>a Reception Zone while attended with all media security protected when unattended.</li> <li>The CA will ensure the operation of the LRA site provides appropriate security protection of the cryptographic module, all system software and the LRA Administrator's private key. The CA must conduct a threat and risk assessment. For example, the cryptographic module and the LRA Administrator's private key could be stored in a secure container or safe.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The CA site must:</li> <li>satisfy at least the requirements for a Security Zone;</li> <li>be manually or electronically monitored for unauthorized intrusion at all times;</li> <li>ensure unescorted access to the CA server is limited to those personnel identified on an access list;</li> <li>ensure personnel not on the access list are properly escorted and supervised;</li> <li>ensure a site access log is maintained and inspected periodically; and</li> <li>ensure all removable media and paper containing sensitive plaintext information are stored in containers either listed in, or of equivalent strength to those listed in, the Security Equipment Guide.</li> <li>In addition to the requirements of a CA, the CCF must satisfy at least the requirements for a High-Security Zone.</li> <li>All LRA sites must be located in areas that satisfy the controls required for a Reception Zone.</li> <li>If an LRA workstation is used for on-line Entity management with the CA, the workstation must be located in either: <ul> <li>a Security Zone; or</li> <li>an Operations Zone while attended with all media security protected when unattended.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The CA will ensure the operation of the LRA site provides appropriate security protection of the cryptographic module, all system software and the LRA Administrator's private key. The CA must conduct a threat and risk assessment. For example, the cryptographic module and the LRA Administrator's private key could be stored in a secure container or safe.</li> </ul>

Γ	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

5.1.1 5.1.2	Site location, construction and physical access (continued)		Where a PIN or password is recorded, it must be stored in a security container accessible only to authorized personnel. Subscribers must not leave their workstations unattended when the cryptography is in an unlocked state (i.e., when the PIN or password has been entered). A workstation that contains private keys on a hard drive must be physically secured or protected with an appropriate access control product.	<ul> <li>Where a PIN or password is recorded, it must be stored in a security container accessible only to designated personnel.</li> <li>Subscribers must not leave their workstations unattended when the cryptography is in an unlocked state (i.e., when the PIN or password has been entered). A workstation that contains private keys on a hard drive must be physically secured or protected with an appropriate access control product.</li> <li>The Subscriber's hardware cryptomodule must be protected physically. This may be done through site protection or being kept with the Subscriber.</li> </ul>			
5.1.3	Power and air conditioning	No stipulation.	A CA must ensure that the power and air conditioning facilities are sufficient to support the operation of the CA sys	tem.			
5.1.4	Water exposures	No stipulation.	A CA must ensure that the CA system is protected from water exposure.				
5.1.5	Fire prevention and protection	No stipulation.	A CA must ensure that the CA system is protected with a fire suppression system.				
5.1.6	Media storage	No stipulation.	A CA must ensure that storage media used by the CA system is protected from environmental threats such as temperature, humidity and magnetism.				
5.1.7	Waste disposal	No stipulation.	All media used for the storage of information such as keys, activation data or CA files is to be sanitised or destroyed before released for disposal.				
5.1.8	Off-site back-up	No stipulation.	A CA must ensure that facilities used for off-site back-up, if any, have the same level of security as the primary CA site.				

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

5.2	Procedural Controls	5		
5.2.1	Trusted Roles			
5.2.1.1	CA trusted roles	A CA may permit all duties for critical CA operations to be performed by one individual.	<ul> <li>A CA must ensure a separation of duties for critical CA functions to prevent one person from maliciously using the CA system without detection.</li> <li>A CA should provide for a minimum of two distinct PKI personnel roles, distinguishing between day-to-day operation of the CA system and the management and audit of those operations. One suggested division of responsibilities between the two roles is:</li> <li>PKI Master User</li> <li>configuration and maintenance of the CA system hardware and software;</li> <li>commencement and cessation of CA services.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A CA must ensure a separation of duties for critical CA functions to prevent one person from maliciously using the CA system without detection. Each user's system access is to be limited to those actions for which they are required to perform in fulfilling their responsibilities.</li> <li>A CA should provide for a minimum of three distinct PKI personnel roles, distinguishing between day-to-day operation of the CA system, the management and audit of those operations and the management of substantial changes to requirements on the system including its policies, procedures or personnel. The division of responsibilities between the three roles should be as follows:</li> <li>PKI Master User</li> <li>configuration and maintenance of the CA system hardware and software;</li> <li>commencement and cessation of CA services.</li> </ul>
5.2.1.1	CA trusted roles (continued)		<ul> <li>PKI Administrator</li> <li>management of PKI Operators;</li> <li>configuring CA security policies;</li> <li>verification of audit logs;</li> <li>verification of CP and CPS compliance;</li> <li>management of Subscriber initialisation process;</li> <li>creation, renewal or revocation of certificates;</li> <li>distribution of tokens (where applicable).</li> </ul> An alternative division of responsibilities is permitted so long as it provides the same degree of resistance to insider attack. Only those personnel responsible for the duties outlined for PKI Master User and System Administrator should have access to the software that controls the CA operation.	<ul> <li>PKI Officer</li> <li>management of PKI Operators and other PKI Officers;</li> <li>configuring CA security policies;</li> <li>verification of audit logs;</li> <li>verification of CP and CPS compliance.</li> <li>PKI Administrator</li> <li>management of the Subscriber initialisation process;</li> <li>creation, renewal or revocation of certificates;</li> <li>distribution of tokens (where applicable).</li> <li>An alternative division of responsibilities is permitted so long as it provides the same degree of resistance to insider attack.</li> <li>Only those personnel responsible for the duties outlined for PKI Master User and System Administrator should have access to the software that controls the CA operation.</li> </ul>
5.2.1.2	LRA trusted roles	No stipulation.	<ul> <li>A CA must ensure that LRA personnel understand their r</li> <li>acceptance of subscription, certificate change, certification of an applicant's identity and authorization transmission of applicant information to the CA;</li> <li>provision of authorization codes for on-line key excert</li> <li>A CA may permit all duties for LRA functions to be perfected</li> </ul>	tions; change and certificate creation.

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	

5.2.2	Number of persons required per task	No stipulation.	<ul> <li>A CA must ensure that no single individual may gain access to Subscriber private keys stored by the CA. At a minimum two individuals, preferably using a spectrol technique, such as twin passwords, must perform any key recovery operation.</li> <li>Multi-user control is also required for CA key generation as outlined in <u>6.2.2</u>.</li> <li>All other duties associated with CA roles may be performed by an individual operating alone. A CA must ensure that any verification process it employs proof all activities performed by privileged CA role holders.</li> </ul>				
5.2.3       Identification and authentication for each role       No stipulation.       All CA personnel must have their identity and authorization verified before they are: <ul> <li>included in the access list for the CA site;</li> <li>included in the access list for physical access to the CA system;</li> <li>given a certificate for the performance of their CA role;</li> <li>given an account on the PKI system.</li> </ul> Each of these certificates and accounts (with the exception of CA signing certificates) must: <ul> <li>be directly attributable to an individual;</li> <li>not be shared;</li> <li>be restricted to actions authorized for that role through the use of CA software, operating system and procedural controls.</li> <li>CA operations must be secured, using mechanisms such as token-based strong authentication and encryption, when accessed across a shared network.</li> </ul>							
5.3	Personnel security controls	<ul> <li>A CA must ensure that all personnel performing duties w</li> <li>be appointed in writing;</li> <li>be bound by contract or statute to the terms and con</li> <li>have received comprehensive training with respect</li> <li>be bound by statute or contract not to disclose sensit</li> <li>not be assigned duties that may cause a conflict of in</li> </ul>	nditions of the position they are to fill; to the duties they are to perform; tive CA security-relevant information or Subscriber inform	ation; and			
5.3.1	Background, qualifications, experience, and clearance requirements	A CA must ensure that all personnel performing duties w (Enhanced Reliability Check). A CA must ensure that all purpose of on-line Entity management with the CA must	personnel who operate a LRA workstation for the	A CA must ensure that all personnel performing duties with respect to the operation of a CA must hold a Level II Security Clearance. A CA must ensure that all personnel who operate a LRA workstation for the purpose of on- line Entity management with the CA must hold an ERC (Enhanced Reliability Check) which must include fingerprint check and a credit check.			
5.3.2	Background check procedures	All background checks must be performed in accordance	with the Government Security Policy.				
5.3.3	Training requirements	<ul> <li>A CA must ensure that all personnel performing duties w</li> <li>the CA/LRA security principles and mechanisms;</li> <li>all PKI software versions in use on the CA system;</li> <li>all PKI duties they are expected to perform; and</li> <li>disaster recovery and business continuity procedures.</li> </ul>	ith respect to the operation of a CA or LRA must receive c	omprehensive training in:			

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

5.3.4	Retraining frequency and requirements	The requirements of 5.3.3 must be kept current to accommodate changes in the CA system. Refresher training must be conducted as required, and the CA must review these requirements at least once a year.
5.3.5	Job rotation	No stipulation.
5.3.6	Sanctions for unauthorized actions	In the event of actual or suspected unauthorized action by a person performing duties with respect to the operation of a CA or LRA, a CA may suspend his or her access to the CA system.
5.3.7	Contracting personnel	CA must ensure that contractor access to the CA site is in accordance with $5.1.1$ .
5.3.8	Documentation supplied to personnel	A CA must make available to its CA and LRA personnel the certificate policies it supports, its CPS, and any specific statutes, policies or contracts relevant to their position.

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

## 6. TECHNICAL SECURITY CONTROLS

6.1	Key Pair Generation	and Installation					
6.1.1	Key pair generation	No stipulation.	Each prospective certificate holder must generate its own Digital signature key pair using a PMA-approved algorithm.	Each confidentiality key pair must be generated using a PMA-approved algorithm.	Same as B	asic Assurance	
6.1.2	Private key delivery to Entity	No stipulation.	Not applicable.	If the private decryption key is not generated by the prospective certificate holder it must be either delivered to the Entity in an on-line transaction in accordance with PKIX-3 Certificate Management Protocol, or via an equally secure manner approved by the PMA.	Same as B	asic Assurance	
6.1.3	Public key delivery to certificate issuer	No stipulation.	The public verification key must be delivered to the CA either via an on- line transaction in accordance with the PKIX-3 Certificate Management Protocol, or via an equally secure manner approved by the PMA.	If the public encryption key is not generated by the CA it must be either delivered to the CA in an on-line transaction in accordance with PKIX-3 Certificate Management Protocol, or via an equally secure manner approved by the PMA.	Same as B	asic Assurance	
6.1.4	CA public key delivery to users	No stipulation.	The CA public verification or via an equally secure man		prospective certificate holder in an on-line transaction in a	ccordance with PKIX-3 Certificate Management Protocol,	
6.1.5	Asymmetric key sizes	A CA must ensure that the key pairs for all PKI entities must be either 512 or 1024 bit RSA or DSA.	A CA must ensure that the key pairs for all PKI entities must be either 1024 bit RSA or DSA or 2048 bit RSA. A CA must ensure that the key pairs for all PKI entities must be 2048 bit RSA.			A CA must ensure that the key pairs for all PKI entities must be 2048 bit RSA.	
6.1.6	Public key parameters generation	A CA that utilises the DSA must generate parameters in ac	ecordance with FIPS 186.	ordance with FIPS 186.			

ſ	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

6.1.7	Parameter quality checking	Not applicable.				
6.1.8	Hardware/softwar e key generation	No stipulation.	Key pairs for all Entities ma or hardware cryptographic r	y be generated in a software nodule.	CA Digital signature key pairs must be generated in a hardware cryptographic module. Key pairs for all other Entities may be generated in a software or hardware cryptographic module.	The generation of Digital Signature keys for all Entities must be generated in a hardware cryptographic module.
6.1.9	Key usage purposes (as per X.509v3 field)	No stipulation.	Keys may be used for authentication, non- repudiation and message integrity. They may also be used for session key establishment. CA signing keys are the only keys permitted to be used for signing certificates and CRLs. The certificate KeyUsage field must be used in accordance with PKIX-1 Certificate and CRL Profile. One of the following KeyUsage values must be present in all certificates: Digital Signature, or Non- Repudiation. One of the following additional values must be present in CA certificate- signing certificates: Key Cert Sign, or CRL Sign.	Keys may be used for exchange and establishment of keys used for session and data confidentiality. The certificate KeyUsage field must be used in accordance with PKIX-1 Certificate and CRL Profile. One of the following KeyUsage values must be present in all certificates: Key Encipherment, or data Encipherment. No other values may be present.	Same as Bas	ic Assurance
6.2	Private key protection	The certificate holder must protect its private keys from a	lisclosure.	1	1	
6.2.1	Standards for crypto-module	Refer to <u>6.8</u> .				

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	BASIC ASSURANCE		L ASSURANCE	HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

6.2.2	Private key multi- person control	No stipulation.		There must be multiple person control for CA key generation operations. Two staff, performing duties associated with the roles of PKI Master User or PKI Officer positions, must participate or be present.	There must be multiple person control for CA key generation operations. Two staff performing duties associated with the roles of PKI Master User or PKI Officer positions must participate or be present. There must be multiple person control for private key recovery. Two staff, performing duties associated with the roles of PKI Master User, PKI Officer or PKI Administrator, must participate or be present.	Same as Basic Assurance
6.2.3	Private key escrow	Digital Signature private keys must not be escrowed.	No stipulation.			Same as Rudimentary Assurance
6.2.4	Private key back- up	No stipulation.	1	An Entity may optionally back-up its own Digital Signature private key. If so, the keys must be copied and stored in encrypted form and protected at a level no lower than stipulated for the primary version of the key.	The Issuing CA may back- up private keys. The Entity may also make a back-up of the key. Backed-up keys must be stored in encrypted form and protected at a level no lower than stipulated for the primary version of the key.	Same as Basic Assurance
6.2.5	Private key archival	Refer to <u>4.6</u> .		1		

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	BASIC ASSURANCE		L ASSURANCE	HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

6.2.6	Private key entry into cryptographic module	No stipulation.	Not applicable.       If the private decryption key is not generated in the Entity's cryptographic module, it must be either entered into the module in accordance with PKIX-3 Certificate Management Protocol, or via an equally secure manner approved by the PMA.       Same as Basic Assurance				
6.2.7	Method of activating private key	No stipulation.	The Entity must be authenticated to the cryptographic module before the activation of the private key. This authentication may be in the form of a password. When deactivated, private keys must be kept in encrypted form only.				
6.2.8	Method of deactivating private key	No stipulation.	-	-	nory before the memory is de-allocated. Any disk space where keys were stored must be over-written before the obic module must automatically deactivate the private key after a pre-set period of inactivity.		
6.2.9	Method of destroying private key	No stipulation.			private key in computer memory and shared disk space must be securely destroyed by over-writing. The method of lestruction procedures must be described in the CPS or other publicly available document.		
6.3	Other Aspects of Ke	ey Pair Management					
6.3.1	Public key archival	No stipulation.	The Issuing CA must retain all verification public keys.	No stipulation.	Same as Basic Assurance		

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE			BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	

6.3.2 Usage periods f the public and private keys	Keys may have validity periods of no more than one year if CRLs are not used and six years if CRLs are used.	All keys (1024 bits) must have validity periods of no more than six years.	All keys (1024 bits) must have validity periods of no more than six years.	1024 bit keys must have validity periods of no more than two years.	1024 bit keys must have validity periods of no more than two years.	All keys (2048 bits) must have validity periods of no more than twenty years.	All keys (2048 bits) must have validity periods of no more than twenty years.
		<ul> <li>Suggested validity period:</li> <li>CA public verification key and certificate - six years;</li> <li>CA private signing key - two years;</li> <li>End-Entity public verification key and certificate - four years;</li> <li>End-Entity private signing key - two years.</li> <li>Use of particular key lengths should be determined in accordance with departmental Threat- Risk Assessments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Suggested validity period:</li> <li>CA public verification key and certificate - six years;</li> <li>CA private signing key - two years;</li> <li>End-Entity public encryption key and certificate four years;</li> <li>End-Entity private decryption key - no expiry.</li> <li>Use of particular key lengths should be determined in accordance with departmental Threat- Risk Assessments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2048 bit keys must have validity periods of no more than twenty years.</li> <li>Suggested validity period (1024):</li> <li>CA public verification key and certificate - two years;</li> <li>CA private signing key - one year;</li> <li>End-Entity public verification key and certificate -one year;</li> <li>End-Entity private signing key six months.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2048 bit keys must have validity periods of no more than twenty years.</li> <li>Suggested validity period (1024): <ul> <li>CA public verification key and certificate - two years;</li> <li>CA private signing key - one year;</li> <li>End-Entity public encryption key and certificate one year;</li> <li>End-Entity private decryption key – no expiry.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Suggested validity period:</li> <li>CA public verification key and certificate - twenty years;</li> <li>CA private signing key - eight years;</li> <li>End-Entity public verification key and certificate - twelve years;</li> <li>End-Entity private signing key - two years.</li> <li>Use of particular key lengths should be determined in accordance with departmental Threat- Risk Assessments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Suggested validity period:</li> <li>CA public verification key and certificate - twenty years;</li> <li>CA private signing key - eight years;</li> <li>End-Entity public encryption key and certificate - twelve years;</li> <li>End-Entity private decryption key - no expiry.</li> <li>Use of particular key lengths should be determined in accordance with departmental Threat- Risk assessments.</li> </ul>

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	BASIC ASSURANCE		ASSURANCE	HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

6.3.2	Usage periods for the public and private keys (continued)			<ul> <li>Suggested validity period (2048):</li> <li>CA public verification key and certificate - twenty years;</li> <li>CA private signing key - eight years;</li> <li>End-Entity public verification key and certificate twelve years;</li> <li>End-Entity private signing key -two years.</li> <li>Use of particular key lengths should be determined in accordance with departmental threat- risk assessments.</li> </ul>	Suggested validity period         (2048):         • CA public         verification key and         certificate – twenty         years;         • CA private signing         key - eight years;         • End-Entity public         encryption key and         certificate – twelve         years;         • End-Entity public         encryption key and         certificate – twelve         years;         • End-Entity private         decryption key - no         expiry.         Use of particular key         lengths should be         determined in accordance         with departmental threat-         risk assessments.	
6.4	Activation Data		· · ·			
6.4.1	Activation data generation and installation	No stipulation.	Any activation data must be unique and unpredic keys or data to be protected. Where passwords a		ction with any other access control, must have an appropriate level of strength for the bility to change its password at any time.	
6.4.2	Activation data protection	No stipulation.	Data used for Entity initialization must be protected from unauthorized use by a combination of cryptographic and physical access control mechanisms. The private keys of Entities must be protected from unauthorized use by a combination of cryptographic and physical access control mechanisms. The level of protection must be adequate to deter a motivated attacker with substantial resources. If a reusable password scheme is used, the mechanism should include a facility to temporarily lock the account after a predetermined number of login attempts.			
6.4.3	Other aspects of activation data	No stipulation.				

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVE	L ASSURANCE	HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

6.5	Computer Security	Controls			
6.5.1	Specific computer security technical requirements	No stipulation.	<ul> <li>Each CA server must include the following functionality:</li> <li>access control to CA services and PKI roles;</li> <li>enforced separation of duties for PKI roles;</li> <li>identification and authentication of PKI roles and as</li> <li>object re-use or separation for CA random access m</li> <li>use of cryptography for session communication and</li> <li>archival of CA and End-Entity history and audit data</li> <li>audit of security related events;</li> <li>self-test of security related CA services;</li> <li>trusted path for identification of PKI roles and assoc</li> <li>recovery mechanisms for keys and the CA system.</li> <li>This functionality may be provided by the operating syst CA software, and physical safeguards.</li> </ul>	sociated identities; emory; database security; a; :iated identities;	<ul> <li>Each CA server must include the following functionality: <ul> <li>access control to CA services and PKI roles;</li> <li>enforced separation of duties for PKI roles;</li> <li>identification and authentication of PKI roles and associated identities;</li> <li>object re-use or separation for CA random access memory;</li> <li>use of cryptography for session communication and database security;</li> <li>archival of CA and End-Entity history and audit data;</li> <li>audit of security related events;</li> <li>self-test of security related CA services;</li> <li>trusted path for identification of PKI roles and associated identities;</li> <li>recovery mechanisms for keys and the CA system;</li> <li>enforcement of domain integrity boundaries for security critical processes.</li> </ul> This functionality may be provided by the operating system, or through a combination of operating system, PKI CA software, and physical safeguards.</li></ul>
6.5.2	Computer security rating	Computer Security Rating (CC Evaluation level) TBD.	1	Computer Security Rating (CC Evaluation level) TBD. CSE, NSA or other accredited third party laboratory must evaluation must include system-level analysis.	t evaluate the security critical elements of the CA. Such an
6.6	Life Cycle Technica	I Controls			
6.6.1	System development controls	No stipulation.	The CA must use software that has been designed and developed by a formal methodology and supported by and Configuration Management tools. The CA software must have third party verification of process compliance.	The CA must use CA software that has been designed and developed under development methodology such as MIL-STD-498, the System Security Engineering Capability Maturity Model (SSE CMM), or Information Systems Security Engineering Handbook. The design and development process must be supported by third party verification of process compliance and ongoing Threat Risk Assessments to influence security safeguard design and minimise residual risk.	<ul> <li>The CA must use CA software that has been designed and developed either under a development methodology such as MIL-STD-498, the System Security Engineering Capability Maturity Model (SSE CMM), or Information Systems Security Engineering Handbook.</li> <li>The design and development process must provide sufficient documentation to support third party security evaluation of the CA components and be supported by:</li> <li>third party verification of process compliance;</li> <li>on-going Threat Risk Assessments to influence security safeguard design and minimise residual risk.</li> </ul>

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

6.6.2	Security management controls	No stipulation.	The configuration of the CA system as well as any modifications and upgrades must be documented and controlled. There must be a method of detecting unauthorized modification to the CA software or configuration. The CA must ensure that it has a configuration management process in place to support the evolution of the CA system. Notice to PMA about significant changes.	<ul> <li>A formal configuration management methodology must be system. The CA software, when first loaded, must provise system:</li> <li>originated from the software developer;</li> <li>has not been modified prior to installation; and</li> <li>is the version intended for use.</li> <li>The CA must provide a mechanism to periodically verify. The CA must also have mechanisms and policies in place system.</li> <li>Upon installation, and at least once a week, the integrity of the CA system must be validated.</li> </ul>	the integrity of the software.
6.7	Network security controls	No stipulation.	The CA server must be protected from attack through any open or general purpose network with which it is connected. Such protection must be provided through the installation of a device configured to allow only the protocols and commands required for the operation of the CA. A CA must ensure that its CPS defines those protocols and commands required for the operation of the CA.		

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

6.8	Cryptographic module engineering controls	No stipulation.	<ul> <li>All CA cryptographic operations must be performed in a cryptographic module validated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 2 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance.</li> <li>All LRA's cryptographic operations must be performed in a cryptographic module validated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 1 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance.</li> <li>End Entities must use cryptographic modules validated to FIPS 140-1 Level 1 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance.</li> </ul>	All CA Digital Signature key generation, CA Digital Signature key storage and certificate signing operations must be performed in a hardware cryptographic module rated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 2 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance. All other CA cryptographic operations must be performed in a cryptographic module validated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 2 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality. The LRA Administrator Digital Signature key generation and signing operations must be performed in a hardware cryptographic module rated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 1 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance All other LRA cryptographic operations must be performed cryptographic modules rated at FIPS 140-1 Level 1 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance. End Entities must use cryptographic modules validated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 1 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance.	All CA Digital Signature key generation, CA Digital Signature key storage and certificate signing operations must be performed in a hardware cryptographic module rated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 3 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance. All other CA cryptographic operations must be performed in a cryptographic module validated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 2 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality. The LRA Administrator Digital Signature key generation and signing operations must be performed in a hardware cryptographic module rated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 2 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance. All other LRA cryptographic operations must be performed cryptographic modules rated at FIPS 140-1 Level 2 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance. End Entities must use a hardware cryptographic module validated to at least FIPS 140-1 Level 2 or otherwise verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance.
				an equivalent level of functionality and assurance.	verified to an equivalent level of functionality and assurance.

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

## 7. CERTIFICATE AND CRL PROFILES

7.1	Certificate Profile								
7.1.1	Version number	The CA must issue X.509 Version 3 certificates, in accordance with the PKIX Certificate and CRL Profile.							
		The PKI End-Entity software must support all the base (no Signature: Issuer: Validity: Subject: Subject Public Key Information: Version: Serial Number: as well as the certificate extensions defined 7.1.2.	on-extension) X.509 fields: CA signature to authenticate certificate name of CA activation and expiry date for certificate subscriber's distinguished name algorithm ID, key version of X.509 certificate, version 3(2) unique serial number for certificate						
7.1.2	Certificate extensions			rofile. The CPS must define the use of any extensions suppo	orted by the CA, its LRAs and End Entities.				
7.1.3	Algorithm object IDs CRL distribution points for difference assurance levels	<ul> <li>The certificatePolicies field must be set as critical in all GOC PKI certificates.</li> <li>The CA must use and End-entities must support, for signing and verification, the following algorithms: <ul> <li>RSA1024 in accordance with PKCS#1 - [OID TBD];</li> <li>SHA-1 in accordance with FIPS PUB 180-1 and ANSI X9.30 (Part 2) - [ID sha1WithRSAEncryption, OID 1 2 840 113549 1 1 5, Issuing Authority RSADSI].</li> </ul> </li> <li>Entities may use, for signing and verification, the following algorithms: <ul> <li>RSA 512, RSA 1024, RSA 2048 in accordance with PKCS#1 - [OID TBD];</li> <li>DSA in accordance with DSS (FIPS PUB 186) and ANSI X9.30 (Part 1) - [OID TBD];</li> <li>MD5 in accordance with RFC 1321 - [OID TBD];</li> <li>SHA-1 in accordance with FIPS PUB 180-1 and ANSI X9.30 (Part 2) - [ID sha1WithRSAEncryption, OID 1 2 840 113549 1 1 5, Issuing Authority RSADSI].</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>[OID TBD];</li> <li>SHA-1 in accordance with FIPS PUB 180-1 and ANSI X9.30 (Part 2) - [ID sha1WithRSAEncryption, OID 1 2 840 113549 1 1 5, Issuing Authority RSADSI].</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The CA must use and End-entities must support, for signing and verification, the following algorithms:</li> <li>RSA 2048 in accordance with PKCS#1 - [OID TBD];</li> <li>SHA-1 in accordance with FIPS PUB 180-1 and ANSI X9.30 (Part 2) - [ID sha1WithRSAEncryption, OID 1 2 840 113549 1 1 5, Issuing Authority RSADSI].</li> <li>Entities may use, for signing and verification, the following algorithms:</li> <li>RSA 1024, RSA 2048 in accordance with PKCS#1 - [OID TBD];</li> <li>DSA in accordance with DSS (FIPS PUB 186) and ANSI X9.30 (Part 1) - [OID TBD];</li> <li>MD5 in accordance with RFC 1321 - [OID TBD];</li> <li>SHA-1 in accordance with FIPS PUB 180-1 and ANSI X9.30 (Part 2) - [ID sha1WithRSAEncryption, OID 1 2 840 113549 1 1 5, Issuing Authority RSADSI].</li> </ul>				
7.1.4	Name forms	No stipulation.	Every DN must be in the form of an X.501 printable	Every DN must be in the form of an X.501 printableString.					

ſ	RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE		BASIC ASSURANCE		MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
ſ	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

7.1.5	Name constraints	No stipulation.	Subject and Issuer DNs must comply with PKIX standards and be present in all certificates.					
7.1.6	Certificate policy object identifier	A CA must ensure that the Policy OID is contained within	CA must ensure that the Policy OID is contained within the certificates it issues.					
7.1.7	Usage of policy constraints extension	A CA must populate and mark as critical the policyCor	must populate and mark as critical the policyConstraints extension.					
7.1.8	Policy qualifiers syntax and semantics	A CA must populate the policyQualifiers extensio	A must populate the policyQualifiers extension with the URI of its CP. If the CA populates the userNotice extension, such text shall be limited to the text described in 2.1.1.					
7.1.9	Processing semantics for the critical certificate policy extension	Critical extensions shall be interpreted as defined in PKIX	itical extensions shall be interpreted as defined in PKIX.					
7.2	CRL Profile							
7.2.1	Version number	If implementing CRLs, the CA must issue X.509 version two (2) CRLs in accordance with the PKIX Certificate and CRL Profile.       The CA must issue X.509 version two (2) CRLs in accordance with the PKIX Certificate and CRL Profile.						
7.2.2	CRL and CRL entry extensions	If CRLs are implemented they must conform to the requirements of the Basic Assurance policy.	All Entity PKI software must correctly process all CRL extensions identified in the PKIX Certificate and CRL profile. The CPS must define the use of any extensions supported by the CA, its LRAs and End Entities.					

RUDIMENTARY ASSURANCE BASIC		BASIC AS	SURANCE	MEDIUM-LEVEL ASSURANCE		HIGH ASSURANCE	
DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY	DIGITAL SIGNATURE	CONFIDENTIALITY

## 8. SPECIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

8.1	Specification Chang	e Procedures					
8.1.1	Items that can change without notification	None.					
8.1.2	Changes with notification	Prior to making any changes to this certificate policy, the PMA will notify the CCF and all CAs that are directly cross-certified with the CCF.					
8.1.2.1	List of items	ll items in this certificate policy are subject to the notification requirement.					
8.1.2.2	Notification mechanism	The PMA will notify, in writing, all CAs that are directly cross-certified with the CCF of any proposed changes to this certificate policy. The notification must contain a statement of proposed changes, the final date for receipt of comments, and the proposed effective date of change. The PMA may request CAs to notify their Subscribers of the proposed changes. The PMA will also post a notice of the proposal on the PMA World Wide Web site.					
8.1.2.3	Comment period	The comment period will be 30 days unless otherwise specified. The comment period will be defined in the notification.					
8.1.2.4	Mechanism to handle comments	Written and signed comments on proposed changes must be directed to the PMA. Decisions with respect to the proposed changes are at the sole discretion of the PMA.					
8.1.2.5	Period for final change notice	The PMA will determine the period for final change notice.					
8.1.2.6	ltems whose change requires a new policy	If a policy change is determined by the PMA to warrant the issuance of a new policy, the PMA may assign a new Object Identifier (OID) for the modified policy.					
8.2	Publication and notification procedures	<ul> <li>An electronic copy of this document, digital signed by an authorized representative of the CA, is to be made available:</li> <li>at the PMA World Wide Web site, URL (TBD);</li> <li>via an e-mail request to [address to be supplied].</li> </ul>					
8.3	CPS approval procedures	A CA's accreditation into the GOC PKI must be in accordance with procedures specified by the PMA. Where a CPS contains information relevant to the security of a CA, all or part of the CPS need not be made publicly available.					