## DEFECT REPORT FORM

1. <u>Defect Report Number</u>: **254** 

Title: chainingRequired component misplaced

2. <u>Source</u>: CEN/ISSS/WS-DIR

3. Addressed to: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 and ITU-T SG 7

Editor Group on the Directory

4. (a) WG Secretariat: UK (BSI)

(b) ITU-T WP: WP 4

- 5. <u>Date Circulated by WG Secretariat</u>:
- 6. <u>Deadline for Response from Editor</u>:
- 7. <u>Defect Report Concerning</u>: FDAM 1 to ITU-T Rec. X.518 (1997) | ISO/IEC 9594-4: 1998
- 8. Qualifier: (e.g.: error, omission, clarification required)

Errors

9. <u>References in Document</u>: (e.g.: page, clause/section, figure, and/or table numbers)

10.4 and 10.8 and Annex

10. <u>Nature of Defect</u>: (complete, concise explanation of the perceived problem)

A **chainingRequired** component has been added to the **CrossReference** data type. This is redundant as the accessPoint component already includes the required information.

FDAM adds **chainingRequired** to the **AccessPoint** data type. It is context specific tag 4. However, the **AccessPoint** data type is included multiple places where context specific tag 4 is also used. In addition, the **chainingRequired** component has no particular meaning in all these cases. The **chainingRequired** component should therefor be moved to the **MasterOrShadowAccessPoint** data type with a safe tag.

11. <u>Solution Proposed by the Source</u>: (optional)

Remove the chainingRequired component from CrossReference

Remove the **chainingRequired** component from the **AccessPoint** data type and add it to the **MasterOrShadowAccessPoint** data type with context specific tag 4.

The **chainingRequired** component indicates whether chaining is required for that DSA, i.e. a referral shall not be returned for that DSA.

## 12. <u>Editor's Response</u>:

(any material proposed for processing as an erratum to, an amendment to, or a commentary on the IS or DIS final text/ITU Recommendation or Draft Recommendation is attached separately to this completed report).