DEFECT REPORT FORM

1. <u>Defect Report Number</u>: **269**

Title: Error in MatchingRuleDescription dasta type

2. <u>Source</u>: CEN/ISSS/WS-DIR

3. Addressed to: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 and ITU-T SG 7

Editor Group on the Directory

4. (a) WG Secretariat: UK (BSI)

(b) ITU-T WP: WP 4

- 5. <u>Date Circulated by WG Secretariat</u>:
- 6. <u>Deadline for Response from Editor</u>:
- 7. <u>Defect Report Concerning</u>: ITU-T Rec. X.501 (1997) | ISO/IEC 9594-2 : 1998
- 8. Qualifier: (e.g.: error, omission, clarification required)

Errors

9. <u>References in Document</u>: (e.g.: page, clause/section, figure, and/or table numbers)

12.5.2 b)

14.7.3

10. <u>Nature of Defect</u>: (complete, concise explanation of the perceived problem)

12.5.2, case b) says that if the assertion syntax is omitted, it defaults to the attribute syntax. This is in conflict with the **approximateStringMatch** (6.6.1 of X.520) where the assertion syntax is the same as that for the equality matching rule of the attribute type being matched.

The SYNTAX field of a MATCHING-RULE object is **OPTIONAL** but the information component of a **MatchingRuleDescription** is mandatory. 14.7.3 (15.7.3 in edition 4) does not describe how to map an absent **SYNTAX** field into the **information** component.

11. <u>Solution Proposed by the Source</u>: (optional)

In 12.5.2, *item b)*, *replace:*

rule is applied to; if present, it may

with:

In 14.7.3 add OPTIONAL to the information component of MatchingRuleDescription.

12. <u>Editor's Response</u>:

(any material proposed for processing as an erratum to, an amendment to, or a commentary on the IS or DIS final text/ITU Recommendation or Draft Recommendation is attached separately to this completed report).